

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>:

That the Board:

- 1. Award the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession to Michael Leslie Productions Incorporated dba Ready Golf Centers;
- 2. Find, in accordance with Charter Section 1022, that it is more economical to secure these services by contract;
- 3. Approve a ten (10) year concession agreement, with one (1) five-year renewal option exercisable at the City's sole discretion, substantially in the form on file in the Board Office, subject to approval of the Mayor, City Council, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), and the City Attorney as to form;
- 4. Direct the Board Secretary to transmit the proposed agreement, concurrently, to the Mayor, in accordance with Executive Directive No. 3, the City Attorney, and the Corps; and
- 5. Authorize the Board President and Secretary to execute the agreement upon receipt of the necessary approvals.

PG. 2 NO. <u>08-136</u>

SUMMARY:

The Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession (Concession) provides the golf carts used at the Department's 18-hole golf course facilities. There are five electric golf cart rental locations serving seven courses: Sepulveda Golf Complex (Encino/Balboa Golf Courses), Griffith Park Golf Complex (Wilson/Harding Golf Courses), Hansen Dam Golf Course, Rancho Park Golf Course, and Woodley Lakes Golf Course. Each location consists of a cart rental building/storage facility. The Concession includes the furnishing of all golf carts needed for the operation of the concession, the rental of the golf carts to golf patrons, and the maintenance and repair of the golf carts.

The Concession has been operated by J. H. Kishi Company since January 28, 1991, and has been on a month-to-month basis since Concession Agreement No. 227 expired on January 29, 2003. In calendar year 2006, the five locations together generated \$3,413,908 in gross receipts and \$1,604,536.76 in rent to the Department.

In December 2003, a Request for Proposal (RFP) was released with the condition that no award is made until the Department completed discussions with the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 347, who opposed the outsourcing of this service (Board Report 03-274). On September 1, 2004, the Board was requested to delay receipt of proposals for 120 days to allow staff time to have an independent study of the RFP by a consultant and the Office of the City Administrative Officer completed (Board Report 04-261).

In January 2005, staff recommended the withdrawal of the RFP in order to incorporate changes (Board Report 05-16). On August 9, 2006, the Board approved the release of a second RFP for the Concession (Board Report 06-216).

On August 24, 2006, the RFP was released. On November 7, 2006, four proposals were received. On April 5, 2007, due to the failure of three of the four proposals to provide a Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Outreach as required by the Board's policy (Board Report 177-89), the Board rejected all proposals and directed staff to develop and release another RFP for this concession with an expedited timeline for release of the RFP and receipt of proposals (Board Report 07-87).

On April 20, 2007, the RFP was released to the public to solicit proposals for the operation of the concession. The RFP was advertised in the Daily Journal, posted on the Los Angeles Business Assistance Virtual Network (BAVN), and posted on the Department of Recreation and Park's website. Also, a letter inviting bids was mailed to over two hundred organizations and individuals from a mailing list maintained by the Concessions Unit.

PG. 3 NO. 08–136

On May 23, 2007, Addendum No. 1 was released, as a new City compliance document was implemented which required all proposers to complete the Bidder Certification Form (CEC Form 50) requiring disclosure as mandated by the Municipal Lobbying Ordinance (Ord. No. 169,916).

On May 24, 2007, a Pre-Proposal Conference was held at the Griffith Park Golf Complex. A walk-through of the cart barn was conducted after the conference. Site visits for the other locations were conducted at a later date prior to the submittal deadline.

On July 3, 2007, Addendum No. 2 was released to extend the deadline from July 5, 2007 to July 12, 2007 in order to respond to questions from potential proposers. On July 11, 2007, Addendum No. 3 was released to extend the deadline from July 12, 2007 to July 24, 2007 in order to modify a technical requirement that had become obsolete from the time of the release of the RFP.

On July 24, 2007, the following proposals were received:

- Angeles Management Services, LLC
- Billy Casper Golf Management
- GolfLinks Ventures, Inc.
- Margaret Shimizu, Inc., dba J. H. Kishi Co.
- Michael Leslie Productions, Inc., dba Ready Golf Centers

As stipulated in the RFP, evaluation of the bid proposals was to occur in three levels. Level I would be a check and review for required compliance and submittal documents; Level II would be an analysis of the proposers' ability to finance the operation as proposed; and, Level III would be a comprehensive evaluation of the proposals by a panel. Proposers must successfully pass each level to proceed to the next level.

Staff performed a Level I review of the following required documents:

Compliance Documents:

- 1) Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit
- 2) Disposition of Proposals
- 3) Affirmative Action Plan
- 4) Good Faith Effort (Subcontractor Outreach)
- 5) Living Wage Ordinance/Service Contractor Worker Retention Ordinance
- 6) Contractor Responsibility Ordinance
- 7) Equal Benefits Ordinance
- 8) CEC Form 50 (included in the RFP as Addendum #1)

PG. 4 NO. 08–136

Submittal Documents:

- 1) Cover Letter
- 2) Proposal Deposit
- 3) Ability to Finance
- 4) Qualifications and Background
- 5) Description of Proposed Golf Carts, Cart Maintenance, and Cart Replacement Plan
- 6) Business Plan
- 7) Proposed Rental Payment to City
- 8) Capital Improvements

Proposals are either Responsive (pass) or Non-Responsive (fail). The following is the complete Level 1 findings:

- Angeles Management Services, LLC was found Responsive in all eight compliance documents and all eight submittal documents (Attachment A-1).
- Billy Casper Golf Management was found Non-Responsive in two of the eight compliance documents and three of the eight submittal documents (Attachment A-2).
- GolfLinks Ventures, Inc. was found Non-Responsive in four of the eight compliance documents and seven of the eight submittal documents (Attachment A-3).
- Margaret Shimizu, Inc. dba J. H. Kishi Co., was found Responsive in all eight compliance documents and all eight submittal documents (Attachment A-4).
- Michael Leslie Productions, Incorporated dba Ready Golf Centers was found Responsive in all eight compliance documents and all eight submittal documents (Attachment A-5).

An economic consultant, Economics Research Associates (ERA), was retained to conduct and oversee the Level II and Level III processes.

Level II Evaluation

ERA reviewed the financial documents and found the three responsive proposers (Angeles Management Services, LLC; Margaret Shimizu, Inc. dba J. H. Kishi Co.; and, Michael Leslie Productions, Incorporated dba Ready Golf Centers) financially able to provide start-up costs, operational costs and financing for capital improvements.

PG. 5 NO. <u>08–136</u>

Level III Evaluation

ERA assembled a five-member panel of individuals currently employed in the field of parks and recreation at municipal agencies located in Southern California and with expertise in golf course management to evaluate proposals, interview the proposers, and recommend an operator for the concession. Employees of ERA and the City of Los Angeles were not utilized on the panel. The panel members were:

- Robert Conrad, Assistant Director of Community Services, City of Victorville
- Steve Duron, Parks and Recreation Operations Manager, County of Los Angeles
- Michael Hentzen, Leasing Manager, Resources and Development Management Department, Orange County Parks, County of Orange
- David Sams, Director of Golf, Rose Bowl Operating Company, City of Pasadena
- Nancy Woods, Business Manager, Department of Parks & Recreation, City of Santa Barbara

On September 11, 2007, the evaluation panel held proposer interviews. The interviews were intended to provide clarification of the proposals; modifications and/or enhancements of the proposals submitted, if any, were not considered by panel members, as stated in the RFP.

The panel reviewed the proposals and the information gathered during the interviews, and was charged with ranking and scoring the proposals in accordance with the RFP, then submitting the information to ERA. ERA collected and tallied the final ranks and scores, and prepared the recommendation based on the ranking and scoring of the panel members (Attachment B).

Rank and Score

Michael Leslie Productions, Incorporated dba Ready Golf Centers (Ready Golf Centers) was unanimously ranked highest by the panel in two criteria, business plan and proposed carts/maintenance/replacement plan. Furthermore, Ready Golf Centers was ranked highest by four out of five panel members in capital improvements, and by three out of five panel members in ability to finance. The evaluation panel's final ranking and general average scores are as follows:

| Proposer | Rank | Final General Avg Score |
|---|------|----------------------------|
| Ready Golf Centers | 1 | 97.0 |
| Margaret Shimizu, Inc., dba J. H. Kishi Co. | 2 | 88.0 |
| Angeles Management Services, LLC | 3 | 83.2 |

Ready Golf Centers had the highest ranking and score, and is therefore recommended by ERA as the preferred operator for the concession.

PG. 6 NO. 08–136

Capital Improvements

Ready Golf Centers proposed the minimum required capital improvements, as stated in the RFP, to be made at the Concession. All improvements shall become property of the City. The minimum required capital improvements are:

- 1. Installation of Cart Wash Drainage Clarifier System (Griffith Park Golf Complex and Hansen Dam Golf Course)
- 2. Installation of Adequate Ventilation System (Hansen Dam Golf Course)

Charter Section 1022

As stated in the RFP for the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession: "In accordance with Los Angeles City Charter Section 1022, the Department will prepare a plan of self-operation to determine whether it is more economical or more feasible to self-operate this concession. Prior to receipt of proposals, the Golf Division will prepare a plan of self-operation. This plan will be considered along with the final evaluation of responsive proposals received."

On October 24, 2007, the Personnel Department completed a Charter Section 1022 review (Attachment C) and determined that the Department had the following City classifications which met the minimum qualifications to provide golf cart rental service to the public: Park Service Attendant, Maintenance Laborer, Equipment Mechanic, and Mechanical Repairer.

To determine whether it is "more economical" for the City to provide the service through a contract, a Charter 1022 Cost Benefit Analysis was performed by the Concessions Unit without an outside consultant (Attachment D), to include: projecting gross revenue for the ten-year agreement period; a comparison of the four (4) responsive rent options as proposed by Ready Golf Centers and the three (3) self-operation options as provided by the Golf Division; and, a summary of the comparison.

Charter 1022 Cost Benefit Analysis Findings

An analysis of the seven options presented for the operation of the Electric Golf Carts Rental operation (3 Golf Division and 4 Ready Golf Centers) produces the following economic Return to the City:

| | | 16 | n-iear Net |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| <u>Proposer</u> | Description | <u> </u> | <u>eturn to City</u> |
| 1. Ready Golf Centers | Option I - Carts Only | \$ | 14,161,549 |
| 2. Golf Division | Option II - 4 Year Lease of Carts | \$ | 13,937,547 |
| 3. Golf Division | Option I - 3 Year Lease of Carts | \$ | 12,921,592 |
| 4. Ready Golf Centers | Option III - Carts & Cart Paths | \$ | 12,745,055 |
| 5. Golf Division | Option III – Purchase of Carts | \$ | 12,577,790 |
| 6. Ready Golf Centers | Option II – Carts & GPS | \$ | 11,951,903 |
| 7. Ready Golf Centers | Option IV – Carts, Cart Paths, GPS | \$ | 10,535,408 |

PG. 7 NO. 08–136

The cost benefit analysis determines that over a ten year period, Ready Golf Centers, Option 1 (Carts Only) provides the highest Return to the City. It is therefore more economical to secure these services by contract.

Staff reviewed the ERA report, the panel's ranking and scoring sheets, and Ready Golf Centers' proposal, and concurs with the recommendation to award the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession to Ready Golf Centers.

Ready Golf Centers has been the operator for the Golf Professional Concession at the Sepulveda Golf Complex since February 17, 2001, and is deemed to have the necessary background and experience to operate the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession. It is therefore recommended that the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession be awarded to Ready Golf Centers with Rent Option I, which provides the City with a rental payment of 39% of gross revenue.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT:

Based on the financial projections provided in Attachment D, it is estimated that during the tenyear term of the concession agreement, approximately \$14,161,549 will be paid in rent to the Department using Option I of the proposal submitted by Ready Golf Centers. Of that amount, approximately \$12,745,394 will be deposited in the Department's General Fund and approximately \$1,416,155 will be deposited into the Golf Concession Improvements Account. The contractor will provide capital improvements at the contractor's expense.

Report prepared by Robert N. Morales, Senior Management Analyst II, and Désirée Guzzetta, Management Analyst II, Concessions Unit, Administrative Resources Section, Finance Division.

ANGELES MANAGEMENT SERVICES, LLC ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CONCESSION LEVEL I EVALUATION

| I. Co | ompliance Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|-------|--|-----------|------------------|---|
| 1. | Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Disposition of Proposals | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Affirmative Action Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 4. | Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Outreach | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. | Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention | No | Yes | Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements. |
| 6. | Contractor Responsibility Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Equal Benefits Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 8. | CEC Form 50 | Yes | Yes | |

| II. S | ubmittal Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|-------|--|-----------|------------------|----------|
| 1. | Cover Letter | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Proposal Deposit | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Ability to Finance | Yes | Yes | |
| 4. | Qualifications and Background | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. | Description of Proposed Golf Carts, Cart Maintenance, and Cart Replacement Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 6. | Business Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Proposed Rental Payment to City | Yes | Yes | |
| 8. | Capital Improvements | Yes | Yes | |

BILLY CASPER GOLF MANAGEMENT ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CONCESSION LEVEL I EVALUATION

| | ompliance Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|-------|--|------------|------------------|---|
| 1. 00 | Proposer's Signature Declaration and | Subinitieu | | |
| 1. | Affidavit | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Disposition of Proposals | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Affirmative Action Plan | Yes | No | Pages A-3 through A-6 not included (Section IV.3.A.3) |
| 4. | Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Outreach | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. | Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention | No | Yes | Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements. |
| 6. | Contractor Responsibility Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Equal Benefits Statement | Yes | No | The signature page (Page 2) was not included (Section IV.3.A.7) |
| 8. | CEC Form 50 | Yes | Yes | |

| II. Sı | ubmittal Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|--------|--|-----------|------------------|--|
| 1. | Cover Letter | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Proposal Deposit | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Ability to Finance | No | No | A statement was included that stated they "will provide the City with supplemental financial information, if needed, at a later stage"; however, the RFP required the documents to be submitted with the proposal (Section V.A.1.3) |
| 4. | Qualifications and Background | Yes | No | The submitted information was incomplete (Section V.A.2.2.1) |
| 5. | Description of Proposed Golf Carts, Cart Maintenance, and Cart Replacement Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 6. | Business Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Proposed Rental Payment to City | Yes | No | A justification for the proposed rent was not submitted along with the proposed rental payment (Section V.5.2) |
| 8. | Capital Improvements | Yes | Yes | |

GOLFLINKS VENTURES, INC. ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CONCESSION LEVEL I EVALUATION

| I. Co | ompliance Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|-------|--|-----------|------------------|--|
| 1. | Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit | Yes | No | The Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit was not notarized (Section IV, Item A.1) |
| 2. | Disposition of Proposals | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Affirmative Action Plan | Yes | No | Pages A-3 through A-6 not included (Section IV.3.A.3) |
| 4. | Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Outreach | No | No | Although Schedule A of the Good Faith Effort was submitted, no other required documentation that a Good Faith Effort was conducted was included. |
| 5. | Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention | No | Yes | Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements. |
| 6. | Contractor Responsibility Statement | Yes | No | Document incomplete (Section IV, Item 3.A.6) |
| 7. | Equal Benefits Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 8. | CEC Form 50 | Yes | Yes | |

| II. SI | ubmittal Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|--------|--|-----------|------------------|---|
| | | | | A required statement acknowledging the terms and conditions |
| 1. | Cover Letter | Yes | No | of the RFP was not included (Section IV.A.1) |
| 2. | Proposal Deposit | Yes | No | GolfLinks submitted a personal check. Only a cashier's check was acceptable for the Proposal Deposit (Section IV.A.2) |
| 3. | Ability to Finance | No | No | Documentation not included (Section V, Item 1) |
| 4. | Qualifications and Background | Yes | No | Insufficient documentation submitted; required elements from the RFP not submitted (Section V, Item 2) |
| 5. | Description of Proposed Golf Carts, Cart Maintenance, and Cart Replacement Plan | Yes | No | The Cart Replacement Plan was not submitted (Section V, Item 3.5) |
| 6. | Business Plan | Yes | No | Required items (Pro Forma Financial Statement) were not submitted (Section V, Item 4) |
| 7. | Proposed Rental Payment to City | Yes | No | A justification for the proposed rent was not included (Section V.5.2). |
| 8. | Capital Improvements | Yes | Yes | |

MARGARET SHIMIZU, INC., DBA J. H. KISHI CO. ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CONCESSION LEVEL I EVALUATION

| | | Submitted | In | Comments |
|-------|--|-----------|------------|---|
| 1. 00 | mpliance Documents | Submitted | Compliance | Comments |
| 1. | Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Disposition of Proposals | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Affirmative Action Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 4. | Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Outreach | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. | Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention | No | Yes | Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements. |
| 6. | Contractor Responsibility Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Equal Benefits Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 8. | CEC Form 50 | Yes | Yes | |

| II. S | ubmittal Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|-------|--|-----------|------------------|----------|
| 1. | Cover Letter | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Proposal Deposit | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Ability to Finance | Yes | Yes | |
| 4. | Qualifications and Background | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. | Description of Proposed Golf Carts, Cart Maintenance, and Cart Replacement Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 6. | Business Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Proposed Rental Payment to City | Yes | Yes | |
| 8. | Capital Improvements | Yes | Yes | |

MICHAEL LESLIE PRODUCTIONS, INC., DBA READY GOLF CENTERS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CONCESSION LEVEL I EVALUATION

| I. Co | ompliance Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|-------|--|-----------|------------------|---|
| 1. | Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Disposition of Proposals | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Affirmative Action Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 4. | Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Outreach | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. | Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention | No | Yes | Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements. |
| 6. | Contractor Responsibility Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Equal Benefits Statement | Yes | Yes | |
| 8. | CEC Form 50 | Yes | Yes | |

| II. Su | ubmittal Documents | Submitted | In Compliance | Comments |
|--------|--|-----------|------------------|----------|
| 1. | Cover Letter | Yes | Yes | |
| 2. | Proposal Deposit | Yes | Yes | |
| 3. | Ability to Finance | Yes | Yes | |
| 4. | Qualifications and Background | Yes | Yes | |
| 5. | Description of Proposed Golf Carts, Cart Maintenance, and Cart Replacement Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 6. | Business Plan | Yes | Yes | |
| 7. | Proposed Rental Payment to City | Yes | Yes | |
| 8. | Capital Improvements | Yes | Yes | |

ATTACMENT B

Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession Proposal Evaluation

Submitted to

۰.

City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks Concessions Unit

Submitted by Independent Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession Panel

November 2007

INTRODUCTION

The following report presents the panel members' evaluation of the proposals submitted to the City of Los Angeles for the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession at the Department's 18-hole golf courses (Sepulveda, Griffith Park, Hansen Dam, Rancho Park, and Woodley Lakes). The panel consists of five individuals currently employed in the field of parks and recreation at public sector agencies located in Southern California. The panel members are:

- Mr. Robert Conrad, Assistant Director of Community Services, City of Victorville
- Mr. Steve Duron, Parks and Recreation Operations Manager, County of Los Angeles
- Mr. Michael Hentzen, Leasing Manager, Resources and Development Management Department, OC Parks, County of Orange
- Mr. David Sams, Director of Golf, Rose Bowl Operating Company, City of Pasadena
- Ms. Nancy Woods, Business Manager, Department of Parks & Recreation, City of Santa Barbara

A brief resume for each panel member is contained in Appendix A.

The panel is charged with the responsibility of evaluating, ranking, and scoring the proposals. Based on the results, a recommendation will be made in this report to the General Manager of the City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks. The panel reviewed the RFP, assessed the proposals submitted, and conducted interviews of the proposers. The panel also relied on information and analysis prepared by the City's contract consultant, Economics Research Associates (ERA).

BACKGROUND

The City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks (City) issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) on April 20, 2007 for the citywide operation of the electric golf carts rental concession. The concessionaire will provide golf carts at Sepulveda, Griffith Park, Hansen Dam, Rancho Park, and Woodley Lakes.

| Facility | Location | Golf <u>Course</u> |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Sepulveda | Encino | 36-hole |
| Woodley Lakes | Van Nuys | 18-hole |
| Hanson Dam | Pacoima | 18-hole |
| Griffith Park | Griffith Park | 36-hole |
| Rancho Park | West Los Angeles | 18-hole |

The Scope of Services requested by the City includes:

- Obtain, whether through lease, purchase, or other method, all golf carts used in this concession;
- Deliver carts to golf patrons at a designated area at each location, and provide instruction in the general operation of the golf carts as needed;
- Maintain, clean, repair, and replace golf carts as required to meet manufacturer's and Department's specifications;

- Ensure the golf cart barns and storage facilities meet, and are maintained to, current safety and fire codes;
- Maintain proper accounting records for the concession (by location and as a total of the concession);
- Work with Department staff to develop a method to reconcile concessionaire's cart rental records with fees collected at Starter Windows (to include tracking and reconciliation of complimentary and discounted rentals); and
- Provide for all staffing, including all hiring, training, and supervision.

The concessionaire is to provide a minimum of 490 carts for the concession. The following are estimates of the minimum number of golf carts to be provided at each location (subject to change based on public demand at each course, dimensions of carts and facilities, arrangement of carts stored at facility, etc.):

| Golf Complex | Number of Carts |
|---------------|--------------------|
| Sepulveda | 120 |
| Griffith Park | 150 |
| Hansen Dam | 75 |
| Rancho Park | 80 |
| Woodley Lakes | 65 |

As well, the concessionaire is required to ensure that sufficient golf carts are available for tournaments or other special events in which greater quantities of carts are required, and to provide a minimum of one (1) electric golf cart that complies with all provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) at each of the five (5) facilities.

The RFP set forth a three-level evaluation and award process. Level I addresses "compliance with RFP Submission Requirements"; Level II assesses the proposer's "ability to finance" the entire operation, as well as mandatory and optional improvements; and Level III entails the overall independent "evaluation and scoring" of the proposal responses. Five proposals from golf course management companies were submitted in August 2007, of which three were accepted by the City as in compliance with the RFP (i.e., satisfied Levels I and II requirements):

- Ready Golf Centers (Ready Golf)
- J.H. Kishi Company (Kishi)
- Angeles Management Services, LLC (AMS)

The panel is responsible for only the Level III evaluation. The proposals contain the proposer's management plan for the golf cart concession, reporting process, projected operating budget, capital improvements plan, proposed rent structure, financing capability/plan, and firm experience and qualifications.

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

In addition to providing the minimum 490 golf carts, the RFP identified the following two required capital improvements:

- 1. **Installation of Cart Wash Drainage Clarifier Systems.** Two of the locations Griffith Park and Hansen Dam require installation of cart wash drainage clarifier systems. The wash drainage clarifier systems at the remaining three locations may require improvements to ensure compliance with environmental requirements and/or to ensure functioning at full capacity. All such systems must meet all environmental requirements.
- 2. <u>Installation of Adequate Ventilation Systems</u>. The Hansen Dam facility requires installation of additional ventilation, which must meet all safety and environmental requirements.

The proposer also had the option to provide additional physical improvements which potentially would improve the concession's quality, safety, and customer service level.

The RFP stipulated the term at 10 years. One five-year option also is allowed, with the option solely at the discretion of the City.

The RFP called for proposers to submit proposed rent in the form of "percentage of gross receipts." The percentage may vary by source of revenue, year of the agreement, and annual revenue level, but the structure must be the same at each of the five golf facilities. There is no fixed minimum annual rent requirement. The concessionaire is responsible for gas and electric utilities, insurance, daily maintenance and possessory interest taxes. The RFP does not specify a requirement for the annual funding of a capital improvement/golf cart replacement reserve, although the RFP does request submission of a plan for periodic reinvestment.

The RFP also specified required compliance with several city ordinances including worker retention, living wage, equal benefits, and child support obligation.

Increases in the price for golf cart rentals are subject to approval by the Board of Recreation and Parks Commissioners. The current 18-hole cart rental is \$24 per cart (\$16 single rider), and \$16 per cart for twilight rental.

HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE

Annual gross revenue from golf cart rentals for the 2002-2006 period at each facility is summarized below:

| Annual Gross Revenue (thousands of dollars) | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Year | Sepulveda (36 holes) | Griffith Park (36 holes) | Hansen Dam (18 holes) | Rancho Park (18 holes) | Woodley Lakes (18 holes) | Total |
| 2002 | \$849.7 | \$908.1 | \$466.1 | \$533.5 | \$511.7 | \$3,269.1 |
| 2003 | 835.7 | 863.3 | 480.7 | 541.7 | 501.2 | 3,222.6 |
| 2004 | 878.1 | 791.7 | 494.6 | 572.3 | 521.7 | 3,258.4 |
| 2005 | 805.1 | 929.8 | 486.1 | 542.2 | 508.8 | 3,272.0 |
| 2006 | 864.1 | 966.3 | 485.0 | 568.5 | 530.0 | 3,413.9 |

Annual gross revenue from cart rentals at selected Los Angeles County and other Southern California municipal courses is presented for comparison purposes in Exhibit 1.

For 2006, the average cart rental revenue per round for the City courses is calculated as follows:

| Facility | Annual Rental Income | Cart Revenue per Round |
|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Sepulveda | \$864,100 | \$5.19 |
| Griffith Park | 966,300 | 4.87 |
| Hansen Dam | 485,000 | 5.38 |
| Rancho Park | 568,500 | 4.78 |
| Woodley Lakes | 530,000 | 5.85 |

At present, golf cart rental fees are:

| 18 Holes | |
|--------------|---------|
| Two Rider | \$24.00 |
| Single Rider | 16.00 |
| Twilight | 16.00 |
| 9 Holes | 16.00 |

Presently, the citywide golf cart rental concessionaire, who is on a month-to-month agreement, pays rent equal to 47 percent of gross cart rental revenue.

PROPOSALS

Capital Improvements

Proposed capital improvements by the three proposers are summarized below. Ready Golf effectively submitted two options (with rent variations on each option), while AMS and Kishi each submitted one option.

| | Capital Improvements | | | ents |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Ready Golf | AMS | Kishi |
| Required | | | | |
| Cart Wash Drainage Systems Ventilation System | | \$ | \$ 50,466 <u>7,923</u> | \$ 32,000 <u>6,000</u> |
| Subtotal | | \$ 100,000 | \$58,388 | \$ 38,000 |
| Optional Cart Path Construction Other Miscellaneous | } } | <u>\$2,266,250</u> | 156,030 | |
| Subtotal Total | | \$2,266,250 \$2,366,250 | \$156,030 \$214,418 | \$162,000 \$200,000 |

Ready Golf, as an option, has proposed commitment of approximately \$2.3 million in golf cart path improvements at Griffith Park, Sepulveda, Woodley Lakes, and Rancho Park. The investment would allow enhancement, repair, and improvement to the existing cart path systems at these courses, rather than a completely new tee-to-green network.

Equipment

As noted, minimum equipment as indicated in the RFP is 490 electric golf carts which meet the City's specifications. As an optional equipment item, Ready Golf and AMS offer Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment for each cart and facility (note that AMS does not provide an option of "carts only," i.e., no GPS).

Cart equipment is summarized as follows:

| | Ready Golf | AMS | Kishi |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Number of Carts | 490 ¹ | 495 ² | 520 ¹ |
| Manufacturer | EZ-Go | Yamaha | Club Car |
| Service Period | 36 mos. | 40 mos. | 48-60 mos. |
| Warranty Period | 36 mos. | 36-48 mos. | 36-48 mos. |
| Sun Canopy | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| New Electric Chargers | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Golf Cart Purchase | Leased | Leased | \$1,982,240 |
| Annual Lease Payment | | | |
| Golf Carts | \$415,320 | \$438,173 | Purchase |
| GPS | <u>\$210,000</u> | <u>\$454,057</u> | |
| Total Lease Payment | \$625,320 | \$892,230 | |

¹Proposer states every cart can accommodate an ADA flag.

²Includes five "solo-rider" carts that are compliant with ADA requirements.

Proposed Rent Structure

Ready Golf offers numerous rent structure variations, while AMS and Kishi offer a single rent structure only:

| _ | Rent as a Percentage of Gross Revenue | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | Ready Go | lf | AN | 15 | Kishi |
| Annual Rental Revenues | Carts Only | With GPS | GPS & Cart Paths | Years 1-5 | Years 6-10 | Years 1-10 |
| Up to \$5.0 mm | 39.0% | 33.0% | 23.0% | 34.0% | 28.0% | 50.0% |
| \$5+ mm | 39.0 | 33.0 | 23.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |

Ready Golf, in addition to the three rent structures noted above, offers numerous variations of rent options relating to a potential GPS rental program. The program labeled "Play Three for Free" entails free use of the GPS for the first three holes with a rental "deposit." If the golf cart user elects to continue with the GPS beyond the third hole, the operator keeps the deposit as full payment. If the user does not wish to have use beyond Hole 3, the deposit is returned in full. According to the proposal, the Play Three for Free program is patented by ProLink.

Ready Golf's rent structure shown above, where GPS is included, is based on no charge for the GPS (free use). The various options proposed by Ready Golf represent tradeoffs of the GPS fee and rent paid to the City. In effect, the program is offering greater rent to the City if Ready Golf is allowed to charge for the use of the GPS. The authority to charge between \$1 and \$5 increases the cart rent paid by the program from 33 percent up to 61 percent.

| | Cart Rent Percentage | | |
|---------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| GPS Fee | With GPS | GPS & Cart Paths | |
| None | 33% | 23% | |
| \$1 | 39 | 29 | |
| \$2 | 45 | 35 | |
| \$3 | 51 | 41 | |
| \$4 | 57 | 47 | |
| \$5 | 61 | 51 | |

The City does not share directly in the GPS revenue, but receives a higher percentage of cart revenue.

The AMS proposal includes a reduction in the rental percentage from 34 percent in years 1-5 to 28 percent in years 6-10 on the first \$5 million in rental revenue. It should be noted that the AMS pro forma projections assume no increase in the cart rental fee over the 10-year term, while expenses are increased with inflation. The AMS proposal also shows advertising income related to the GPS, with rent equal to 20 percent of advertising revenue proposed.

The Kishi proposal indicates willingness to pay rent equal to 50 percent of miscellaneous revenues from ice chest rental, club washing, and other sources, if allowed by the City.

Pro Forma Projections

The proposers were requested to submit pro forma projections for the 10-year term. The Year 2 (stabilized) projections provided by the proposer, along with a standardized baseline compiled by ERA for analysis purposes, are shown below:

| | Year 2 Annual Gross Revenue (\$000) | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----|-------------|---------|--|
| | | | Advertising | | |
| | Carts | GPS | Other | Total | |
| Current 2006 | \$3,414 | | | \$3,414 | |
| Ready Golf | | | | | |
| Carts only | 3,566 | | | 3,566 | |
| With GPS (free) | 3,566 | | | 3,566 | |
| GPS & Cart Paths | 3,566 | | | 3,566 | |
| AMS | 4,109 | | \$210 | 4,319 | |
| Kishi | 3,745 | | 65 | 3,810 | |
| ERA Baseline | | | | | |
| Carts only | 3,600 | | 50 | 3,650 | |
| With GPS (free) | 3,960 | | 100 | 4,060 | |

ERA's baseline shows two revenue options – one with carts only and a second with a free GPS included with the cart rental. For analysis purposes, a 10 percent increase in cart utilization (from about 50 to 55 percent) is projected with the free GPS.

Projected Rent

Based on the proposed rent structure, the Year 2 annual rent to the City under the proposer rent structure, as well as ERA's baseline revenue, is calculated as follows:

| | Annual Rent – Year 2 (\$000) | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Proposer Projections | ERA Baseline Projections | |
| Ready Golf Carts only With GPS (no fee) | \$1,391 1,177 | \$1,404 1,307 | |
| AMS – with GPS (no fee) Kishi – carts only | 1,439 1,905 | 1,366 ¹ 1,825 ¹ | |

¹Includes rent on ancillary revenue.

Importantly, the rent projections reflect the assumption that play levels remain unchanged with a free GPS provided. An argument can be made that providing enhanced golfer service by offering GPS at no additional cost would increase the desirability and utilization (more golf rounds) of the facilities, generating additional greens fees for the City.

Under Ready Golf's "Play Three for Free" program, there appears to be limited, if any, financial downside for the City. The risk of acceptance of the GPS use is shifted primarily to the concessionaire. Assuming cart utilization is unchanged under the elective GPS "Play Three for Free" program and utilizing ERA baseline projections, Year 2 Ready Golf rent is projected as follows:

| GPS Fee | Ready Golf Year 2 Annual Rent (\$000) | |
|---------------------|--|--|
| Carts only - no GPS | \$1,404 | |
| With GPS – no fee | 1,307 | |
| \$1 | 1,544 | |
| \$2 | 1,782 | |
| \$3 | 2,020 | |
| \$4 | 2,257 | |
| \$5 | 2,416 | |

With regard to cart path improvements, Ready Golf's proposal offers \$2.26 million in additional capital improvements in return for a reduction of 10 percentage points in rent (from 39 percent to 29 percent, assuming no GPS), which would reduce projected rent by approximately \$360,000 per year (plus inflation).

Based on the proposed rent structure, the present value of the projected rent stream to the City under the proposer rent structure, as well as ERA's baseline revenue, over the 10-year term is calculated as follows:

| | Present Value of 10-Year Rent Stream ¹ (\$000) | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Proposer | Proposer Projections | ERA Baseline Projections | | |
| Ready Golf Carts only With GPS (no fee) | \$ 9,764 8,262 | \$10,330 9,614 | | |
| AMS – with GPS (no fee) Kishi – carts only | 8,986 14,480 | 9,387 13,427 | | |

¹Based on 8 percent present value discount rate.

The present value of Ready Golf's 10-year rent stream under the "Play Three for Free" program is calculated as follows (based on ERA baseline projections):

| GPS Fee | Ready Golf Present Value ¹ of 10-Year Rent Stream (\$000) | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Carts only - no GPS | \$10,330 | | |
| With GPS - no fee | 9,614 | | |
| \$ 1 | 11,363 | | |
| \$2 | 13,111 | | |
| \$3 | 14,859 | | |
| \$4 | 16,607 | | |
| \$5 | 17,772 | | |

¹Based on 8 percent present value discount rate.

The present value of Ready Golf's 10-year rent stream under the cart path investment option would be reduced by \$2.5-2.8 million.

Improvement Reserve

The RFP does not require funding a capital improvement reserve. The Ready Golf proposal indicates a \$5,000 annual capital improvement reserve. It is not clear whether this sum would be placed in an escrow account, require City discretion on when and what improvements would be made, and what the disposition of unspent funds at the conclusion of the lease term would be.

Similarly, AMS states that their pro forma projections include \$450,000 over the 10-year term for future improvements to be made on facilities, security equipment, and software. This funding is shown under "Repair and Maintenance of Premises." The pro forma show \$25,000 annually, with an additional \$50,000 in improvements in Years 3, 5, 7, and 9. Again, specific improvements approval by the City and disposition of remaining funds, if any, is not specified.

<u>LEVEL II – REVIEW</u>

With regard to the Level II evaluation, the RFP states: "Proposer must provide evidence of financial capability to fund the entire operation in the amount indicated in the pro forma; evidence of sufficient funding will be verified by the Department, but will not be scored. If evidence of sufficient funding is provided and verified, the proposer will be passed to Level III for evaluation and scoring."

The evaluation of "ability to finance" is based on the information submitted in the proposer's proposal. While, in most cases, the financial statements submitted have not been certified or audited, the information has been sufficiently verified or validated. According to a review process conducted by ERA, Ready Golf, Kishi and AMS have provided sufficient evidence of available funding for the entire operation as well as proposed capital improvements.

Ready Golf Centers

Ready Golf offers two alternative proposals regarding capital improvements – one addressing cart equipment and required capital improvements, and a second which adds golf cart path improvements at Sepulveda, Woodley Lakes, Griffith Park, and Rancho Park.

| | | Capital Improvements (\$000) | | |
|--|--------|---------------------------------|----------------|--|
| | _ | Alt. 1 | Alt. 2 | |
| Golf Carts GPS | | ¹ | ¹ | |
| Required Capital Improvements Golf Cart Paths | } } | <u>\$100</u> | <u>\$2,366</u> | |
| Total | | \$100 | \$2,366 | |

¹Golf carts leased with an annual lease payment indicated at \$475,000.

²GPS equipment leased with an annual lease payment indicated at \$210,000.

Ready Golf states that the cost of improvements will be funded entirely from private capital (cash reserves), and that Michael Bernback (President of Ready Golf Centers) will "guarantee" all of the required capital.

According to the financial statement of Michael Leslie Bernback, dated March 31, 2007, Bernback's net worth is approximately \$9.1 million, including cash/liquid securities valued at \$2.89 million. Excluding personal residence, Bernback's net worth is reported at \$7.0 million. The financial statement was prepared by a CPA, but was based on information submitted by the individual, and not reviewed nor audited by the accountant.

The proposal does not indicate any intent to borrow funds and has no contingencies.

Based on the information submitted in the proposal, there is reasonable evidence to suggest that Ready Golf has the ability to finance the proposed capital improvements, and fund any start-up costs and unforeseen operating deficits.

Ready Golf currently is on a month-to-month agreement with the City to operate the Sepulveda Pro Shop, and has submitted a proposal to operate the Sepulveda complex for a 10-year term. If successful, capital investment requirements for Sepulveda total about \$1.3 million (based on Ready Golf Option I proposal). Based on the information provided, Ready Golf has sufficient financial resources to fund/finance requirements at both Sepulveda and the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession.

J.H. Kishi Company

Margaret Shimizu, Inc. is a California company doing business as "J.H. Kishi Company." Margaret Shimizu is indicated as the President and sole owner of the company.

Under the Kishi Company proposal, capital improvements are estimated as follows:

| Golf Carts | \$1,982,000 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| Required Improvements | 37,825 |
| Optional Improvements | 162,000 |
| Total | \$2,181,825 |

The proposal states that all of the capital improvements will be funded with cash.

A financial statement for the Kishi Company and financial information relating to Margaret Shimizu are submitted in the proposal.

The net worth of the Kishi Company is largely equal to the market value of the existing fleet of carts. The book value of this equipment is about \$200,000, although the market value is indicated at about \$400,000.

In addition to the estimated \$400,000 in golf cart trade-in value, submitted financial statements show approximately \$2 million in liquid assets (cash/marketable securities).

Based on the information provided, J.H. Kishi Company has sufficient financial resources to fund/finance requirements for the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession.

Angeles Management Services

Angeles Management Services (AMS) is a newly formed business entity apparently created to seek the golf cart concession contract with the City of Los Angeles. The entity consists of five ownership members – Mr. Jong Jin Lee, Mr. James C. Lee, Mr. James W.S. Han, Mr. Mike S. Kim, and Mr. In Chun Lee.

The cost of proposed capital items is summarized as follows:

| Golf Carts | \$1,848,000 |
|--------------------|-------------|
| GPS System | 1,494,400 |
| Capital Costs | |
| Required | 58,400 |
| Optional | 156,000 |
| Start-Up Equipment | 58,200 |
| Total | \$3,615,000 |

AMS proposes funding the capital improvements through a combination of equity contributions, lease financing, and bank debt, totaling approximately \$4,120,000.

It is customary to lease (or secure lease financing) for operating equipment such as golf carts and GPS systems, and thus the approximately \$1 million proposed equity commitment from the business entity appears sufficient to meet minimum lease equipment deposits and fund capital improvements and working capital requirements.

The approximately \$1 million equity contribution would derive from the combined commitments of the five LLC shareholders. Collectively, the financial statements included in the proposal show available cash of about \$300,000 and lines of credit totaling about \$1.2 million. The lines of credit are secured by equity in personal residences.

The financial information shown suggests that the stock ownership group has sufficient resources to fund cash requirements and secure debt for equipment (golf carts and GPS). A finance commitment letter from a major bank also is included in the proposal expressing access to \$1.7 million in credit. It is noted that due to the time lapse since the submission of the proposal, the commitment has expired. Also, the required collateral for the credit included personal guarantees from four of the principals and trust deeds (in second and third positions). It is unclear as to how these credit guarantees would affect the \$1.2 million lines of credit. Notwithstanding this issue regarding collateralized lines of credit, it appears that AMS has sufficient financial resources to fund/finance requirements for the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession.

PROPOSER INTERVIEWS

Interviews were held on Tuesday, September 11, 2007 at the Los Angeles Equestrian Center, near Griffith Park. The interview panel consisted of five highly qualified public sector officials who have extensive golf experience and knowledge:

- Mr. Robert Conrad City of Victorville
- Mr. Steve Duron County of Los Angeles
- Mr. Michael Hentzen County of Orange
- Mr. David Sams City of Pasadena
- Ms. Nancy Woods City of Santa Barbara

A one-hour interview with each proposer was scheduled. The interview generally consisted of a 15- to 20-minute presentation of proposal highlights, followed by 40 to 45 minutes of panel member questions. It should be emphasized that the proposal interview was intended to provide <u>clarification</u> of proposals. Modifications and/or enhancements of the proposals submitted, if any, were not considered by panel members as stated in the RFP.

EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

The City defined the evaluation and proposal scoring process in the RFP. There were six criteria identified with a "points awarded by ranking" required.

The criteria include:

- Ability to finance
- Qualifications and background
- Proposed carts, maintenance program, and cart replacement plan
- Business plan
- Proposed rent
- Capital improvements

The weighting of the criteria and point awards by rank are as follows:

| | Points Awarded by Rank | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Criterion | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Ability to Finance | 15 | 13-14 | 11-12 | |
| Qualifications & Background | 20 | 18-19 | 16-17 | |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 15 | 13-14 | 11-12 | |
| Business Plan | 20 | 18-19 | 16-17 | |
| Rent | 15 | 13-14 | 11-12 | |
| Capital Improvements | 15 | 13-14 | 11-12 | |

At the conclusion of each and all interviews, panel members had the opportunity to discuss the proposer's proposal and interview responses. Each panel member then independently completed and signed a summary scoring matrix.

PROPOSAL RANKING

A summary of the panel members' relative ranking of the proposals is presented in Exhibit 2. (The complete set of ranking forms by panel member is provided in Appendix B.) Ready Golf ranked first by all panel members in two of the six evaluation criteria — proposed carts/maintenance/ replacement plan, and business plan — ranked first by four of the five panel members in one of the six criteria — capital improvements — and ranked first by three of the five panel members on one of the six criteria — ability to finance.

Kishi ranked first by all panel members in one of the six criteria — rent — ranked first by four panel members in one of the six criteria — qualifications/background — and ranked first by one panel member for ability to finance.

AMS ranked first by one panel member in two of the six criteria — ability to finance and capital improvements.

Ability to Finance

The panel relied upon ERA's Level II Analysis which examined the financial capability of the proposer to fund the proposed improvements and ongoing operation, and information included in the proposal. Both Ready Golf and AMS appear to have access to sufficient resources to undertake the financial obligations of the concession and have proposed to lease the cart fleet. Kishi proposes to purchase the golf carts. For this criterion, Ready Golf was ranked highest by three panel members. Kishi and AMS were ranked highest by one panel member cach. It was noted by two panel members that Kishi's net worth would be equal to the market value of the cart fleet that they own.

Qualifications and Background

While all three proposers demonstrated reasonable qualifications and experience necessary to perform the concession functions, Kishi was ranked first on this criterion by four panel members, primarily due to its experience working within a municipal golf system and familiarity with the concession as the current concessionaire.

Ready Golf received the highest ranking is this category by one panel member and was noted as the current golf concessionaire at Sepulveda. AMS' lack of experience in public sector golf was cited as a limitation.

Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan

.

All proposers proposed a commitment of resources to ongoing refurbishment and capital reinvestment. Ready Golf was unanimously ranked first by the panel members; Kishi was ranked second by three panel members; and AMS was ranked third by three panel members.

Both Ready Golf and AMS propose to lease the cart fleet – Ready Golf would lease EZ-Go carts and AMS proposes to lease from Yamaha. Kishi proposes to purchase a fleet of Club Car carts. It was noted that Yamaha has only 3 percent of cart market share in the U.S.

Business Plan

Ready Golf was unanimously ranked first by the panel members, presenting a well-thoughtout and innovative marketing plan with knowledge of the current concession and customer base.

AMS was unanimously ranked second. Panel members noted that AMS' marketing plan was not as well defined and appeared less comprehensive.

Kishi was unanimously ranked third on this criterion. Kishi's business plan focused on continuing to emphasize responsiveness to their customer base. While the panel clearly endorses this strategy and acknowledges the challenges faced by a contractor on a month-to-month agreement, the panel feels performance may be improved through a more proactive, innovative approach in business development.

Proposed Rent

The panel relied upon ERA's economic analysis of the proposed rent structure and information contained in the proposals. Kishi was unanimously ranked first under this evaluation criterion. Ready Golf was unanimously ranked second with AMS unanimously ranked third.

Capital Improvements

All three proposers complied with the minimum capital improvements requirement of the RFP. The panel believes that the additional optional improvements proposed by Ready Golf (specifically, cart path improvement) were appropriate and reasonable, but any such capital expenditure would have to be considered in the context of master plans for the facilities, alternative funding mechanisms, and other related issues, which require further analysis to measure full benefit to the City.

PROPOSAL POINTS AWARDED

Based on the relative rankings displayed above, and the weighting of each criterion assigned in the City's RFP, points awarded to each bidder by criterion are presented in Exhibit 3 and summarized as follows:

| | Total Points Awarded | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------|------|--|--|
| Panelist | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS | | |
| Robert Conrad | 98 | 89 | 82 | | |
| Steve Duron | 97 | 88 | 83 | | |
| Michael Hentzen | 98 | 92 | 80 | | |
| David Sams | 95 | 91 | 83 | | |
| Nancy Woods | <u> 97</u> | 80 | 88 | | |
| Total | 485 | 440 | 416 | | |
| Average | 97.0 | 88.0 | 83.2 | | |
| | | | | | |

The complete set of points awarded by each panel member is provided in Appendix C.

RECOMMENDATION

Based on the panel's ranking and scoring, Ready Golf Centers is recommended as the preferred proposer for the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession at the Department's 18-hole golf courses (Sepulveda, Griffith Park, Hansen Dam, Rancho Park, and Woodley Lakes).

ł

:

;

Exhibit 1

CART REVENUE AT SELECTED LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURSES 2006

| | Annual Cart Revenue (\$000) | Annual Rounds | Average Revenue per Round |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| City of Los Angeles | | | |
| Sepulveda | \$ 864.1 | \$ 166.6 | \$5.19 |
| Griffith Park | 966.3 | 198.2 | 4.87 |
| Hansen Dam | 485.0 | 90.1 | 5.38 |
| Rancho Park | 568.5 | 119.0 | 4.78 |
| Woodley Lakes | 530.0 | 90.6 | 5.85 |
| Subtotal – Regulation 18 | \$3,413.9 | \$ 644.6 | \$5.30 |
| City of Long Beach* | | | |
| Skylinks | 568.3 | 76.2 | 7.46 |
| Recreation Park (18) | 660.8 | 111.7 | 5.92 |
| El Dorado | 555.1 | 94,0 | 5.91 |
| Total | \$1,784.2 | \$ 281.9 | \$6.33 |
| County of Los Angeles | | | |
| Alondra (18-hole) | 498.5 | 112.7 | 4.42 |
| Diamond Bar | 688.1 | 104.4 | 6.59 |
| Knollwood | 617.9 | 89.9 | 6.87 |
| Lakewood | 690.4 | 110.2 | 6.27 |
| La Mirada | 798.4 | 119.7 | 6.67 |
| Los Amigos | 511.6 | 81.9 | 6.25 |
| Los Verdes | 811.7 | 120.5 | 6.74 |
| Marshall Canyon | 443.3 | 61.7 | 7.18 |
| Mountain Meadows | 664.9 | 86.9 | 7.65 |
| Santa Anita | 594.4 | 108.4 | 5.48 |
| Victoria | 371.7 | 99.9 | 3.72 |
| Chester Washington | 632.5 | 99.9 | 6.33 |
| Whittier Narrows* | 651.0 | 105.7 | 6.16 |
| Total | \$7,974.4 | \$1,301.8 | \$6.13 |

Source: Economics Research Associates.

#17360-2

^{*2005.}

Exhibit 2

CITYWIDE GOLF CARTS PROPOSER RANKING

| | | | Panelist | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------|----------|------|-------|
| Criterion/Proposer | Conrad | Duron | Hentzen | Sams | Woods |
| | | | | | |
| Ability to Finance | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Kishi | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| AMS | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Qualifications/Backgrour | nd | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Kishi | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| AMS | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Proposed Carts, Mainter | nance, Replac | ement Plan | | | |
| Ready Golf | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Kishi | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| AMS | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Business Plan | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Kishi | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| AMS | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Rent | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Kishi | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AMS | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Capital Improvements | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Kishi | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| AMS | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | | | |

Exhibit 3

CITYWIDE GOLF CARTS PROPOSER POINTS AWARDED

| | | Panelist | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------|---------|------|-------|-------|
| Criterion/Proposer | Conrad | Duron | Hentzen | Sams | Woods | Total |
| Ability to Finance | | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 73 |
| Kishi | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 65 |
| AMS | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 62 |
| Qualifications/Backgrou | nd | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 19 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 95 |
| Kishi | -20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 16 | 96 |
| AMS | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 82 |
| Proposed Carts, Mainter | nance, Replace | ement Plan | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| Kishi | 13 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 63 |
| AMS | 12 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 61 |
| Business Plan | | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| Kishi | 16 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 81 |
| AMS | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 90 |
| Rent | | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 68 |
| Kishi | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 75 |
| AMS | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 55 |
| Capital Improvements | | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 74 |
| Kishi | 13 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 60 |
| AMS | 12 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 66 |
| Total | | | | | | |
| Ready Golf | 98 | 97 | 98 | 95 | 97 | 485 |
| Kishi | 89 | 88 | 92 | 91 | 80 | 440 |
| AMS | 82 | 83 | 80 | 83 | 88 | 416 |

Appendix A

٠ .

RESUMES OF GOLF CONCESSION EVALUATION PANEL MEMBERS

Appendix A

RESUMES OF GOLF CONCESSION EVALUATION PANEL MEMBERS

Mr. Robert Conrad, City of Victorville, Assistant Director of Community Services.

Mr. Conrad has substantial municipal golf operations experience. He formerly served as the Golf Administrator for the City of Ventura (1986-1993), and the Golf Manager for Boulder City, Nevada (1993-2007). He currently is the Assistant Director of Community Services for the City of Victorville, and has responsibility for the City's municipal golf courses.

Mr. Steve Duron, County of Los Angeles, Parks and Recreation Operations Manager.

Mr. Duron was the former golf operations contract administrator for the County of Los Angeles. He was responsible for administering contracts for all of the County golf course lessees and concessionaires. He has extensive experience in all aspects of golf course operations through his more than 30 years with the County. Mr. Duron has the unique combination of expertise in contract management, facility operation, and recreation programming.

Mr. Michael Hentzen, County of Orange, OC Parks, Resources and Development Management Department, Leasing Manager. Mr. Hentzen has been with Orange County's Harbors, Beaches, and Parks Division for about 18 years. He is responsible for executing and administering the County's more than 100 revenue leases. Included in this portfolio are several golf courses including Mile Square, Strawberry Farms, and David Baker Golf Course.

Mr. David Sams, Rose Bowl Operating Company, Director of Golf. Mr. Sams has many years of experience in administering golf operations. He currently is the Golf Course Administrator for the Rose Bowl Operating Company, responsible for managing the 36-hole Brookside Golf Course in Pasadena, and still provides assistance to the City of San Leandro where he oversaw the golf course renovation and golf operations at the City's Monarch Dunes course. The Rose Bowl Operating Company is a City of Pasadena agency responsible for managing the Rose Bowl stadium, Brookside Restaurant, and Brookside Golf Course. Between 1978 and 1996, Mr. Sams served as the Golf Course Contracts Coordinator for the City of Pasadena.

Ms. Nancy Woods, City of Santa Barbara, Business Manager. Ms. Woods has served since 1999 as the Business Manager for the City of Santa Barbara Parks and Recreation Department. She is the liaison with the golf course operations concessionaire and directly involved in establishing golf course policies and all business matters involving the City's municipal golf course.

.

Appendix B RANKING FORMS

• .

•

Concession: City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Rental

Panel Member: Bob Conrad

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Rank | | | | |
|---|------------|-------|-----|--|--|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS | | |
| Ability to Finance | 1 | 3 | 2 | | |
| Qualifications & Background | 2 | 1 | 3 | | |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| Business Plan | 1 | 3 | 2 | | |
| Rent | 2 | 1 | 3 | | |
| Capital Improvements | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |

By: 12 man

Name (print): Bob Conrad

9/18/07 Date: _____

Concession: City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Rental

Panel Member: Steve Duron

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Rank | | |
|---|------------|-------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Qualifications & Background | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Business Plan | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Rent | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Capital Improvements | 2 | 3 | 1 |

By:

Name (print): Steve Duron

4 _____ Date: 57

| Concession: | City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Rental |
|-------------|--|
|-------------|--|

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| Rank | | |
|------------|-----------------------|--|
| Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | 1 2 1 1 2 | Ready Golf Kishi 1 2 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 3 2 1 |

By: Muhar Henter

Name (print): Michael Hentzen

Date: 9/24/07

| Concession: | City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Rental |
|-------------|--|
|-------------|--|

Panel Member: David Sams

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Rank | | |
|---|------------|-------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Qualifications & Background | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Business Plan | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Rent | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Capital Improvements | 1 | 3 | 2 |

By: David Gam

Name (print): David Sams

Date: 9/2//07

Panel Member: Nancy Woods

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Rank | | |
|---|------------|-------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Qualifications & Background | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Business Plan | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Rent | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Capital Improvements | 1 | 3 | 2 |

Many Word Ву:____

Name (print): Nancy Woods

September 17, 2007 Date:

Appendix C POINTS AWARDED FORMS

.

-

Concession: City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Rental

Panel Member: Bob Conrad

interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Points Awarded | | |
|---|----------------|-------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 15 | 12 | 13 |
| Qualifications & Background | 19 | 20 | 16 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 15 | 13 | 12 |
| Business Plan | 20 | 16 | 18 |
| Rent | 14 | 15 | 11 |
| Capital Improvements | 15 | 13 | 12 |
| Total | 98 | 89 | 82 |

By: Mi forman

Name (print): Bob Conrad

9/18/07 Date:

Panel Member: Steve Duron

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Points Awarded | | |
|---|----------------|-------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 15 | 13 | 12 |
| Qualifications & Background | 19 | 20 | 16 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 15 | 13 | 11 |
| Business Plan | 20 | 16 | 18 |
| Rent | 14 | 15 | 11 |
| Capital Improvements | 14 | 11 | 15 |
| Total | 97 | 88 | 83 |

Fidence Ву: 🤇 um

Name (print): Steve Duron

Date: 9/21/07

| Concession: | City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Rental |
|-----------------|--|
| Panel Member: | Michael Hentzen |
| Interview Date: | Tuesday, September 11, 2007 |

| | P | oints Awarded | d |
|---|------------|---------------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 15 | 14 | 11 |
| Qualifications & Background | 19 | 20 | 16 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 15 | 14 | 12 |
| Business Plan | 20 | 16 | 18 |
| Rent | 14 | 15 | 11 |
| Capital Improvements | 15 | 13 | 12 |
| Total | 98 | 92 | 80 |

By: Muhar Hentyla

Name (print): Michael Hentzen

Date: 9/24/07

| Concession: City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Renta |
|---|
|---|

Panel Member: David Sams

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Points Awarded | | d |
|---|----------------|-------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 14 | 15 | 11 |
| Qualifications & Background | 18 | 20 | 16 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 15 | 12 | 13 |
| Business Plan | 20 | 17 | 18 |
| Rent | 13 | 15 | 11 |
| Capital Improvements | 15 | 12 | 14 |
| Total | 95 | 91 | 83 |

By: David a Sm

Name (print): David Sams

Date: 9/21/07

| Concession. City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carls Rend | Concession: | City of Los Angeles Electric Golf Carts Renta |
|--|-------------|---|
|--|-------------|---|

Panel Member: Nancy Woods

Interview Date: Tuesday, September 11, 2007

| | Points Awarded | | d |
|---|----------------|-------|-----|
| Criterion | Ready Golf | Kishi | AMS |
| Ability to Finance | 14 | 11 | 15 |
| Qualifications & Background | 20 | 16 | 18 |
| Proposed Carts, Maintenance, Replacement Plan | 15 | 11 | 13 |
| Business Plan | 20 | 16 | 18 |
| Rent | 13 | 15 | 11 |
| Capital Improvements | 15 | | 13 |
| Total | 97 | 80 | 88 |

Many Work By:

Name (print): Nancy Woods

September 17, 2007 Date:

ATTACHMENT C

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT CONTRACT REVIEW REPORT

- 1. Requesting Department: Recreation and Parks
- z. Contacts

| Department: | Robert Morales | Phone | (818) 243-6421 | Fax No. | (818) 243-6451 |
|-------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| CAO: | Veronica Salumbides | Phone | (213) 473-7561 | Fax No. | (213) 473-7514 |

3. Work to be performed:

The Department of Recreation and Parks is seeking a vendor to operate the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession. The services to be provided consist of: providing and maintaining a fleet of electric golf carts at seven City courses; hiring, training, and supervising all staff; interacting with the public by giving instructions on the carts; ensuring the golf cart barns and storage facilities meet current safety and fire codes; and maintaining accounting records for the concession.

4. Is this a contract renewal? Yes 🗌 No 🔀

- 5. Proposed length of contract: <u>10 years</u> Proposed Start Date: <u>Unknown</u>
- 6. Proposed cost of contract (if known): \$3,400,000 (gross revenue generating)
- 7. Name of proposed contractor: Unknown

Unique or special qualifications required to perform the work:

Sound business knowledge of golf cart rental concessions; staff with the expertise to maintain a fleet of electric golf carts, wash clarifiers, and ventilation systems; expertise in marketing to generate revenue; and strong customer service skills and abilities.

9. Are there City employees that can perform the work being proposed for contracting? Yes ⊠ No □

If yes,

a. Which class(es) and Department(s):

| Class | Departments | Eligible list expires |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Park Service Attendant | El Pueblo, Rec & Parks, Zoo | No list |
| Maintenance Laborer | Aiports, DWP, Fire, GSD, Harbor, Police, PW Sanitation, PW Street Services, Rec & Parks, DOT | Continuous |
| Equipment Mechanic | Airports, DWP, Fire, GSD, Harbor, Police | Continuous |
| Mechanical Repairer | Airport, Convention Center, DWP, Fire, GSD, Police, PW Sanitation, PW Street Services, Rec & Parks, DOT, Zoo | 1/2/09 |

b. Is there sufficient Department staff available to perform the work? Yes \Box No \boxtimes

- c. Is there a current eligible list for the class(es)? Yes I No Expiration Date See above
- d. Estimated time to fill position(s) through CSC process? <u>Unknown</u>

- Can the requesting department continue to employ staff hired for the project after project e. Yes 🗌 No 🕅 completion?
- Are there City employees currently performing the work? Yes 🛛 No 🗌 f.

0. Findings

- City employees DO NOT have the expertise to perform the work
- \mathbf{X} City employees DO have the expertise to perform the work

Check if applicable (explanation attached) and send to CAO for further analysis

- Project of limited duration would have to layoff staff at end of project
 - Time constraints require immediate staffing of project

Work assignment exceeds staffing availability

SUMMARY: A Park Service Attendant, Maintenance Laborer, Equipment Mechanic, and Mechanical Repairer can perform the work described above. City staff at the golf course located at the Harbor is currently performing the work. The City has the expertise to perform the work, however, the Department does not have the staff to complete it.

| Submitted by: | Dominique Camaj |
|---------------|--------------------|
| Reviewed by: | Shelly Del Rosario |
| Approved by: | Shannon C. Pascual |
| Date: | 10/24/07 |

ATTACHMENT D

ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CONCESSION CHARTER SECTION 1022

COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Los Angeles City Charter Section 1022 grants the Board of Recreation and Park Commissioners the authority to make the determination as to whether work can be performed more economically or feasibly by independent contractors than by City employees.

This Cost Benefit Analysis is performed in order to determine whether it is more economical to have the Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession operated by an independent contractor or by City employees.

Background

The Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession has been operated by J. H. Kishi Company since January 28, 1991. The contract expired in 2003. The Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession Request for Proposal (RFP) was released on April 20, 2007. On July 24, 2007, the following proposals were received:

- Angeles Management Services, LLC
- Billy Casper Golf Management
- GolfLinks Ventures, Inc.
- Margaret Shimizu, Inc., dba J. H. Kishi Co.
- Michael Leslie Productions, Inc., dba Ready Golf Centers

Two of the proposals were found non-responsive to the RFP during the Level I evaluation (GolfLinks Ventures, Inc. and Billy Casper Golf Management). The Department used an economic consultant, Economics Research Associates (ERA), to oversee the evaluation panel's portion of the process pertaining to a recommendation of the best proposal. The evaluation panel ranked and scored the proposals, and on the basis of the evaluation panel's ranking, ERA has reported that the evaluation panel chose Michael Leslie Productions, Inc., dba Ready Golf Centers as the recommended operator.

Gross Revenue Projection

A sound and realistic gross revenue projection for a ten-year period is essential in order to compare cost benefits between the recommended proposal (Ready Golf Centers) and the operation of the concession by the City. The current condition of the economy serves as the basis of projecting the rate of decline or growth in potential gross golf revenue. As a weak economy results in less discretionary money for individuals to use on recreational activities, it is important to determine how the current economic conditions will affect the golf industry. According to its fourth quarter report of 2007, UCLA Anderson Forecast stated: "Though the economy is experiencing difficulty rooted in the problematic real estate sector, as well as the result of such things as higher oil prices and a troublesome rate of consumer debt, the UCLA Anderson Forecast does not see the possibility of enough job loss to trigger an actual recession."

However, Wall Street firm Merrill Lynch has recently stated: "Friday's (*March 7, 2008*) employment report strongly suggests that an official recession has arrived."

Despite a weakened economy, the golf industry is expected to survive and grow. With an estimated economic worth of \$62.2 billion, directly behind Furniture and Home Furnishings (\$74.1 billion) and Retail and Appliances (\$70.2 billion), and a growing demographic, golf is a strong industry.

Studies from the National Golf Foundation show that as people age, they are more likely to play golf more often. Current research has indicated that since 2005, "baby boomers" and "echo boomers," the children of the "baby boomers," have increased participation in golf nationwide by 18.2% and 8.0%, respectively. This is an area that will assist in the stability and growth of the golf industry up to the year 2020, when the "baby boomers" are expected to reach retirement age.

A recent Stanford Research Institute study has indicated that the golf industry was dampened by a slowing rate of golf course construction and lower average capital investments. Between 1990 and 2006, 428 private golf clubs were closed. However, the country gained 427 municipal golf courses over the same period. Maintained and marketed adequately, municipal golf courses remain popular, as they offer lower green fees than private golf courses of similar quality. This is one of the primary reasons the overall golf economy growth was 4.1% annually between 2000 and 2005.

However, the golf industry has shown slow growth over the past two years. On March 10, 2008, Avant-Garde, Inc., an economic consultant for the Department of Recreation and Parks, stated: "Taking into consideration the current trend in the local golf courses, as well as the slowing of the economy, an annual growth rate of 2.5% is a conservative but secure projection for the next ten years." As such, given the recent economy and current slowing of growth in the golf industry, an annual growth of 2.5% is used for this cost benefit analysis to determine a ten-year gross revenue projection.

Self-Operation Expenditure Plan

A self-operation expenditure plan is used to compare the cost of providing the service inhouse against contracting out the service. The Golf Division submitted a self-operation expenditure plan to the Board Office on July 24, 2007. The Concessions Unit requested the information on December 4, 2007 in order to prepare the cost benefit analysis and provide a recommendation for the electric golf carts rental operation. The Golf Division provided three self-operation plans:

| Option I | Three-year cart lease |
|------------|-----------------------|
| Option II | Four-year cart lease |
| Option III | Cart purchase plan |

Each option contained a one-year operational expense plan. The Concessions Unit used the one-year plan provided and included a five percent (5%) annual growth factor to create a ten-year operational expense plan projection for self-operation. This growth factor is attributed to the current inflation rate at the time of this report (Nov 2007 -4.31%; Dec 2007 - 4.08%; Jan 2008 - 4.28%; and, Feb 2008 - 4.03%); the rise in utility costs (gas and electricity); the rise in fuel cost surcharges from vendors for expense and equipment items; employee salary increases; and a rise in the Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) of approximately 4.55% over the past five years for Central Services, Department Administration and Support, and Comp Time Off. Fringe Benefits have increased almost 70% over the past five years. Considering the information that is currently available, a growth rate of five percent (5%) is reasonable.

The Concessions Unit reviewed the Self-Operation Expenditure Plan and made an adjustment to the labor costs for Option III. As the purchase of carts will require additional maintenance, staff contacted two vendors of golf carts (Toro and Club Car) and inquired into maintenance requirements. It was determined that each cart would require 3 hours of maintenance 4 times per year. As there are 2,088 hours per employee per year, it was necessary to adjust the number of employees from 1 full time employee to 2.5 full time employees (3 hours of maintenance x 4 times per year x 490 carts = 5,880 hours of maintenance; 5,880 hours of maintenance / 2,088 hours per employee = 2.8 employees required).

Ready Golf Centers - Rent Options

Ready Golf Centers proposed fourteen (14) rent options. Ten (10) of the rent options contained the use of a Global Positioning System (GPS), which required patrons to pay a per rider deposit that the concession operator would keep if the patron did not discontinue use of the GPS prior to the fourth round of golf.

Ready Golf Centers' Proposal (Section 4.6 / page 104) states: "The City does not share in the deposit because the City's share has been calculated as higher rent." The Electric Golf Carts Rental Concession RFP – Rent Payment to City Submittal Requirement (Section V.B.5.1) states: "For proposed new amenities or services to be offered as part of the concession operation, in which the patron is charged any fee whatsoever, those amenities and services shall also be subject to rental payments (as well as express approval from the Department)."

As the ten rent options, as proposed by Ready Golf Centers, which contain a paid GPS option do not conform to the requirements of the RFP, they are deemed non-responsive to the RFP and are not considered as part of the proposal evaluation.

For this cost benefit analysis, the four responsive rent options from Ready Golf Centers are labeled:

| Option I | Carts Only | 39% of Gross Revenue |
|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Option II | Carts and Free GPS | 33% of Gross Revenue |
| Option III | Carts and Cart Paths | 29% of Gross Revenue |
| Option IV | Carts, Cart Paths, Free GPS | 23% of Gross Revenue |

Department Contract Administration Cost

The cost of the Department to administer a contract is included in the cost benefit analysis, as it reduces the actual value of the rent paid by a Concessionaire.

The administration costs include the pro-rated salaries and Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) rates for: one Senior Management Analyst I; one Management Analyst II; and, one Accounting Clerk I.

A one-year Department Contract Administration cost is based on pro-rated salaries plus CAP 30, as released by the Office of the City Controller. A five percent (5%) annual growth factor to account for salary increases is included to create a ten-year Department Contract Administration Cost.

Charter 1022 Cost Benefit Analysis Findings

An analysis of the seven options presented for the Electric Golf Carts Rental operation (3 Golf Division and 4 Ready Golf Centers) produces the following results for Return to City:

| | | Te | n-Year Net |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----|--------------|
| Proposer | Description | Re | turn to City |
| 1. Ready Golf Centers | Option I - Carts Only | \$ | 14,161,549 |
| 2. Golf Division | Option II - 4 Year Lease of Carts | \$ | 13,937,547 |
| 3. Golf Division | Option I - 3 Year Lease of Carts | \$ | 12,921,592 |
| 4. Ready Golf Centers | Option III - Carts & Cart Paths | \$ | 12,745,055 |
| 5. Golf Division | Option III – Purchase of Carts | \$ | 12,577,790 |
| 6. Ready Golf Centers | Option II – Carts & GPS | \$ | 11,951,903 |
| 7. Ready Golf Centers | Option IV – Carts, Cart Paths, GPS | \$ | 10,535,408 |

The cost benefit analysis determines that over a ten year period, Ready Golf Centers, Option I (Carts Only) provides the highest Return to the City.

Cost Benefit Analysis Findings

It is more economical for Ready Golf Centers Option I, which provides 39% of Gross Revenue as rental payments to the Department, to provide the service of electric golf cart rental to patrons of the golf course.

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS SUMMARY OF RETURN TO CITY

| OPTIONS | RETURN TO CITY |
|---|-------------------|
| Ready Golf Centers - Option I (39% of Gross Revenue / Carts Only) | \$ 14,161,549 |
| Golf Division - Option II (4 Year Lease of Carts) | \$ 13,937,547 |
| Golf Division - Option I (3 Year Lease of Carts) | \$ 12,921,592 |
| Ready Golf Centers - Option III (29% of Gross Revenue / Carts and Cart Paths) | \$ 12,745,055 |
| Golf Division - Option III (Purchase of Carts) | \$ 12,577,790 |
| Ready Golf Centers - Option II (33% of Gross Revenue / Carts & Free GPS) | \$ 11,951,903 |
| Ready Golf Centers - Option IV (23% of Gross Revenue / Carts, Cart Paths, Free GPS) | \$ 10,535,408 |

RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS - GOLF DIVISION

Golf Division Option I - 3 Year Lease of Carts

| Contract Year | G | Fross Revenue Projection (A) | C | Cost to Self- Operate (B) | (Se | eturn to City elf-Operation) (C = A - B) |
|------------------|----|------------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|-----|--|
| Year 1 | \$ | 3,287,172 | \$ | 1,900,625 | \$ | 1,386,547 |
| Year 2 | \$ | 3,369,351 | \$ | 1,995,656 | \$ | 1,373,696 |
| Year 3 | \$ | 3,453,585 | \$ | 2,095,439 | \$ | 1,358,147 |
| Year 4 | \$ | 3,539,925 | \$ | 2,200,210 | \$ | 1,339,714 |
| Year 5 | \$ | 3,628,423 | \$ | 2,310,221 | \$ | 1,318,202 |
| Year 6 | \$ | 3,719,133 | \$ | 2,425,732 | \$ | 1,293,401 |
| Year 7 | \$ | 3,812,112 | \$ | 2,547,019 | \$ | 1,265,093 |
| Year 8 | \$ | 3,907,415 | \$ | 2,674,370 | \$ | 1,233,045 |
| Year 9 | \$ | 4,005,100 | \$ | 2,808,088 | \$ | 1,197,012 |
| Year 10 | \$ | 4,105,227 | \$ | 2,948,492 | \$ | 1,156,735 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 36,827,443 | \$ | 23,905,851 | \$ | 12,921,592 |

Golf Division Option II - 4 Year Lease of Carts

| Contract Year | Gross Revenue Projection (A) | | | ost to Self- Operate (B) | Return to City (Self-Operation) (C = A - B) | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|------------|----|--------------------------------|---|------------|--|
| Year 1 | \$ | 3,287,172 | \$ | 1,819,851 | \$ | 1,467,321 | |
| Year 2 | \$ | 3,369,351 | \$ | 1,910,844 | \$ | 1,458,507 | |
| Year 3 | \$ | 3,453,585 | \$ | 2,006,386 | \$ | 1,447,199 | |
| Year 4 | \$ | 3,539,925 | \$ | 2,106,706 | \$ | 1,433,219 | |
| Year 5 | \$ | 3,628,423 | \$ | 2,212,041 | \$ | 1,416,382 | |
| Year 6 | \$ | 3,719,133 | \$ | 2,322,643 | \$ | 1,396,491 | |
| Year 7 | \$ | 3,812,112 | \$ | 2,438,775 | \$ | 1,373,337 | |
| Year 8 | \$ | 3,907,415 | \$ | 2,560,714 | \$ | 1,346,701 | |
| Year 9 | \$ | 4,005,100 | \$ | 2,688,749 | \$ | 1,316,350 | |
| Year 10 | \$ | 4,105,227 | \$ | 2,823,187 | \$ | 1,282,041 | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 36,827,443 | \$ | 22,889,896 | \$ | 13,937,547 | |

Golf Division Option III - Purchase of Carts

| Contract Year | G | iross Revenue Projection (A) | C | Cost to Self- Operate (B) | (S | eturn to City elf-Operation) (C = A - B) |
|------------------|----|------------------------------------|----|---------------------------------|----|--|
| Year 1 | \$ | 3,287,172 | \$ | 3,598,089 | \$ | (310,917) |
| Year 2 | \$ | 3,369,351 | \$ | 1,666,560 | \$ | 1,702,792 |
| Year 3 | \$ | 3,453,585 | \$ | 1,749,888 | \$ | 1,703,697 |
| Year 4 | \$ | 3,539,925 | \$ | 1,837,382 | \$ | 1,702,543 |
| Year 5 | \$ | 3,628,423 | \$ | 1,929,251 | \$ | 1,699,172 |
| Year 6 | \$ | 3,719,133 | \$ | 4,300,850 | \$ | (581,717) |
| Year 7 | \$ | 3,812,112 | \$ | 2,126,999 | \$ | 1,685,112 |
| Year 8 | \$ | 3,907,415 | \$ | 2,233,349 | \$ | 1,674,065 |
| Year 9 | \$ | 4,005,100 | \$ | 2,345,017 | \$ | 1,660,083 |
| Year 10 | \$ | 4,105,227 | \$ | 2,462,268 | \$ | 1,642,960 |
| TOTAL | \$ | 36,827,443 | \$ | 24,249,653 | \$ | 12,577,790 |

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS SUMMARY OF RETURN TO CITY

| OPTIONS | RETURN TO CITY |
|---|-------------------|
| Ready Golf Centers - Option I (39% of Gross Revenue / Carts Only) | \$ 14,161,549 |
| Golf Division - Option II (4 Year Lease of Carts) | \$ 13,937,547 |
| Golf Division - Option I (3 Year Lease of Carts) | \$ 12,921,592 |
| Ready Golf Centers - Option III (29% of Gross Revenue / Carts and Cart Paths) | \$ 12,745,055 |
| Golf Division - Option III (Purchase of Carts) | \$ 12,577,790 |
| Ready Golf Centers - Option II (33% of Gross Revenue / Carts & Free GPS) | \$ 11,951,903 |
| Ready Golf Centers - Option IV (23% of Gross Revenue / Carts, Cart Paths, Free GPS) | \$ 10,535,408 |

RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS - READY GOLF CENTERS, INC.

| | | | Ready Golf Centers Rent Option I (Carts Only) 39% of Gross Revenue | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Contract Year | Gross Revenue Projection | | Proposed Rent Payment to City | | Capital Improveme | Ac | AP Contract Iministration Costs | Return to City (Contract) | | | |
| | | (A) | (B | = A x 39%) | (C) | | (D) | (I | E = B + C - D) | | |
| Year 1 | \$ | 3,287,172 | \$ | 1,281,997 | | \$ | 23,943 | \$ | 1,258,054 | | |
| Year 2 | \$ | 3,369,351 | \$ | 1,314,047 | | \$ | 25,140 | \$ | 1,288,907 | | |
| Year 3 | \$ | 3,453,585 | \$ | 1,346,898 | | \$ | 26,397 | \$ | 1,320,501 | | |
| Year 4 | \$ | 3,539,925 | \$ | 1,380,571 | | \$ | 27,717 | \$ | 1,352,854 | | |
| Year 5 | \$ | 3,628,423 | \$ | 1,415,085 | • | \$ | 29,103 | \$ | 1,385,982 | | |
| Year 6 | \$ | 3,719,133 | \$ | 1,450,462 | • | \$ | 30,558 | \$ | 1,419,904 | | |
| Year 7 | \$ | 3,812,112 | \$ | 1,486,724 | • | \$ | 32,086 | \$ | 1,454,638 | | |
| Year 8 | \$ | 3,907,415 | \$ | 1,523,892 | | \$ | 33,690 | \$ | 1,490,201 | | |
| Year 9 | \$ | 4,005,100 | \$ | 1,561,989 | | \$ | 35,375 | \$ | 1,526,614 | | |
| Year 10 | \$ | 4,105,227 | \$ | 1,601,039 | | \$ | 37,144 | \$ | 1,563,895 | | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 36,827,443 | \$ | 14,362,703 | \$ 10 | 0,000 \$ | 301,154 | \$ | 14,161,549 | | |

Ready Golf Centers Rent Option II (Carts and Free GPS)

33% of Gross Revenue

| Contract Year | | | ···· | | apital ovements | AP Contract ministration Costs | Return to City (Contract) | | |
|------------------|----|------------|------|------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|
| | | (A) | (B | = A x 33%) | (C) | (D) | E) | = B + C - D) | |
| Year 1 | \$ | 3,287,172 | \$ | 1,084,767 | | \$ 23,943 | \$ | 1,060,824 | |
| Year 2 | \$ | 3,369,351 | \$ | 1,111,886 | | \$ 25,140 | \$ | 1,086,746 | |
| Year 3 | \$ | 3,453,585 | \$ | 1,139,683 | | \$ 26,397 | \$ | 1,113,286 | |
| Year 4 | \$ | 3,539,925 | \$ | 1,168,175 | | \$ 27,717 | \$ | 1,140,458 | |
| Year 5 | \$ | 3,628,423 | \$ | 1,197,380 | | \$ 29,103 | \$ | 1,168,277 | |
| Year 6 | \$ | 3,719,133 | \$ | 1,227,314 | | \$ 30,558 | \$ | 1,196,756 | |
| Year 7 | \$ | 3,812,112 | \$ | 1,257,997 | | \$ 32,086 | \$ | 1,225,911 | |
| Year 8 | \$ | 3,907,415 | \$ | 1,289,447 | | \$ 33,690 | \$ | 1,255,756 | |
| Year 9 | \$ | 4,005,100 | \$ | 1,321,683 | | \$ 35,375 | \$ | 1,286,308 | |
| Year 10 | \$ | 4,105,227 | \$ | 1,354,725 | | \$ 37,144 | \$ | 1,317,581 | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 36,827,443 | \$ | 12,153,056 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 301,154 | \$ | 11,951,903 | |

Ready Golf Centers Rent Option III (Carts and Cart Paths) 29% of Gross Revenue

| Contract Year | Gross Revenue Projection | | | Proposed Rent Payment to City | | Capital Improvements | | P Contract ministration Costs | Return to City (Contract) | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----|----------------------------------|----|-------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | (A) | (B | i = A x 29%) | | (C) | | (D) | E) | = B + C - D) | | | |
| Year 1 | \$ | 3,287,172 | \$ | 953,280 | | | \$ | 23,943 | \$ | 929,337 | | | |
| Year 2 | \$ | 3,369,351 | \$ | 977,112 | | | \$ | 25,140 | \$ | 951,972 | | | |
| Year 3 | \$ | 3,453,585 | \$ | 1,001,540 | | | \$ | 26,397 | \$ | 975,142 | | | |
| Year 4 | \$ | 3,539,925 | \$ | 1,026,578 | | | \$ | 27,717 | \$ | 998,861 | | | |
| Year 5 | \$ | 3,628,423 | \$ | 1,052,243 | | | \$ | 29,103 | \$ | 1,023,140 | | | |
| Year 6 | \$ | 3,719,133 | \$ | 1,078,549 | | | \$ | 30,558 | \$ | 1,047,991 | | | |
| Year 7 | \$ | 3,812,112 | \$ | 1,105,512 | | | \$ | 32,086 | \$ | 1,073,426 | | | |
| Year 8 | \$ | 3,907,415 | \$ | 1,133,150 | | | \$ | 33,690 | \$ | 1,099,460 | | | |
| Year 9 | \$ | 4,005,100 | \$ | 1,161,479 | | | \$ | 35,375 | \$ | 1,126,104 | | | |
| Year 10 | \$ | 4,105,227 | \$ | 1,190,516 | | | \$ | 37,144 | \$ | 1,153,372 | | | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 36,827,443 | \$ | 10,679,958 | \$ | 2,366,250 | \$ | 301,154 | \$ | 12,745,055 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Ready Golf Centers Rent Option IV (Carts, Cart Paths, Free GPS) 23% of Gross Revenue

| Contract Year | Gross Revenue Projection | | Proposed Rent Payment to City | | Im | Capital Improvements | | RAP Contract Administration Costs | | Return to City (Contract) | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|----|-------------------------|----|---|----|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | (A) | (B | = A x 23%) | | (C) | | (D) | (E | = B + C - D) | | |
| Year 1 | \$ | 3,287,172 | \$ | 756,050 | | | \$ | 23,943 | \$ | 732,106 | | |
| Year 2 | \$ | 3,369,351 | \$ | 774,951 | | | \$ | 25,140 | \$ | 749,811 | | |
| Year 3 | \$ | 3,453,585 | \$ | 794,325 | | | \$ | 26,397 | \$ | 767,927 | | |
| Year 4 | \$ | 3,539,925 | \$ | 814,183 | | | \$ | 27,717 | \$ | 786,466 | | |
| Year 5 | \$ | 3,628,423 | \$ | 834,537 | | | \$ | 29,103 | \$ | 805,434 | | |
| Year 6 | \$ | 3,719,133 | \$ | 855,401 | | | \$ | 30,558 | \$ | 824,843 | | |
| Year 7 | \$ | 3,812,112 | \$ | 876,786 | | | \$ | 32,086 | \$ | 844,700 | | |
| Year 8 | \$ | 3,907,415 | \$ | 898,705 | | | \$ | 33,690 | \$ | 865,015 | | |
| Year 9 | \$ | 4,005,100 | \$ | 921,173 | | | \$ | 35,375 | \$ | 885,798 | | |
| Year 10 | \$ | 4,105,227 | \$ | 944,202 | | | \$ | 37,144 | \$ | 907,059 | | |
| TOTAL | \$ | 36,827,443 | \$ | 8,470,312 | \$ | 2,366,250 | \$ | 301,154 | \$ | 10,535,408 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GROSS REVENUE PROJECTION

| | Five-Year Average - Revenue | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| | | 2002 | | 2003 | | 2004 | | 2005 | | 2006 | 5 | -Year AVG |
| Sepulveda | \$ | 849,705 | \$ | 835,726 | \$ | 878,097 | \$ | 805,057 | \$ | 864,128 | \$ | 846,543 |
| Griffith | \$ | 908,138 | \$ | 863,311 | \$ | 791,666 | \$ | 929,793 | \$ | 966,255 | \$ | 891,833 |
| Hansen Dam | \$ | 466,061 | \$ | 480,685 | \$ | 494,590 | \$ | 486,067 | \$ | 485,027 | \$ | 482,486 |
| Rancho Park | \$ | 533,518 | \$ | 541,720 | \$ | 572,297 | \$ | 542,167 | \$ | 568,462 | \$ | 551,633 |
| Woodley Lakes | \$ | 511,657 | \$ | 501,157 | \$ | 521,712 | \$ | 508,828 | \$ | 530,036 | \$ | 514,678 |
| TOTAL: | \$ | 3,269,079 | \$ | 3,222,599 | \$ | 3,258,362 | \$ | 3,271,912 | \$ | 3,413,908 | \$ | 3,287,172 |

Revenue projection based on five-year average (as stated in the RFP) for first year and 2.5% annual growth (rate of inflation).

10-Year Revenue Projection

| Year 1 | \$ 3,287,172 |
|---------|------------------|
| Year 2 | \$ 3,369,351 |
| Year 3 | \$ 3,453,585 |
| Year 4 | \$ 3,539,925 |
| Year 5 | \$ 3,628,423 |
| Year 6 | \$ 3,719,133 |
| Year 7 | \$ 3,812,112 |
| Year 8 | \$ 3,907,415 |
| Year 9 | \$ 4,005,100 |
| Year 10 | \$ 4,105,227 |
| TOTAL | \$ 36,827,443 |

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GOLF DIVISION - SELF-OPERATION EXPENDITURE PLAN

| | Self-Operation (3 Year Lease) | Self-Operation (4 Year Lease) | Self-Operation (Purchase) |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| stimated Golf Division Expenses | | | |
| Labor (Including CAP Rate) | \$ 1,169,402.38 | \$ 1,169,402.38 | \$ 1,346,917.73 |
| Golf Carts | \$ 490,940.16 | \$ 410,167.04 | -see below- |
| Cost of Procurement | \$- | \$- | -see below- |
| Utilities | \$ 97,740.00 | \$ 97,740.00 | \$ 97,740.00 |
| Maintenance Supplies | \$ 42,000.00 | \$ 42,000.00 | \$ 42,000.00 |
| Office & Cleaning Supplies | \$ 7,800.00 | \$ 7,800.00 | \$ 7,800.00 |
| Auto Lease | \$ 4,000.00 | \$ 4,000.00 | \$ 4,000.00 |
| Insurance (Additional - above City provided) | \$ 38,742.00 | \$ 38,742.00 | \$ 38,742.00 |
| Refurbishments, Improvements, Maintenance | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 50,000.00 |
| Total Golf Division Expenses | \$ 1,900,624.55 | \$ 1,819,851.43 | \$ 1,587,199.73 |
| 10-Year Self-Operation Costs Year 1 | \$ 1,900,625 | \$ 1,819,851 | \$ 1,587,200 |
| based on first year costs and 5% Year 2 | \$ 1,995,656 | \$ 1,910,844 | \$ 1,666,560 |
| annual growth factor: Year 3 | \$ 2,095,439 | \$ 2,006,386 | \$ 1,749,888 |
| Year 4 | \$ 2,200,210 | \$ 2,106,706 | \$ 1,837,382 |
| Year 5 | \$ 2,310,221 | \$ 2,212,041 | \$ 1,929,251 |
| Year 6 | \$ 2,425,732 | \$ 2,322,643 | \$ 2,025,714 |
| Year 7 | \$ 2,547,019 | \$ 2.438.775 | \$ 2,126,999 |
| Year 8 | \$ 2,674,370 | \$ 2,560,714 | \$ 2,233,349 |
| Year 9 | \$ 2,808,088 | \$ 2,688,749 | \$ 2,345,017 |
| Year 10 | \$ 2,948,492 | \$ 2,823,187 | \$ 2,462,268 |
| | ÷ _,• .•, .•= | ÷ =,===, · • | + _,, |

Year 1 - Purchase of carts: \$ 1,816,706

Year 6 - Purchase of carts: \$ 2,055,436 \$ 3,872,141

| Year 1 - Cost of Procurement: | \$ 194,183 |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Year 6 - Cost of Procurement: | \$ 219,701 |
| | \$ 413,884 |

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GOLF DIVISION - SELF-OPERATION EXPENDITURE PLAN (LABOR) LEASING OF CARTS (GOLF DIVISION OPTION | AND OPTION |)

| | | | | | COST ALLO | CATION PLAN RA | TE (CAP 30) | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Full-Time | Annual | Hourly | Labor | Fring Benefit | Cent Serv | Dept Admin | Overhead | СТО | Flex | Labor Expense |
| Classification | Hours | Rate | Expense | 52.62% | 40.42% | 14.97% | 24.29% | 26.74% | Costs | Inc CAP Rate |
| Principal Park Services Attendant (1 Position) | | | \$ 62,848.80 | \$ 33,071.04 | 25,403.48 | \$ 9,408.47 | \$ 15,265.97 \$ | 16,805.77 \$ | 8,252.00 | \$ 171,055.53 |
| Mechanical Helper (1 Position) | | | \$ 45,685.44 | \$ 24,039.68 | \$ 18,466.05 | \$ 6,839.11 | \$ 11,096.99 \$ | 12,216.29 \$ | 8,252.00 | \$ 126,595.56 |
| Part-Time Classification | Annual Hours | Hourly Rate | Labor Expense | Fring Benefit 9.53% | Cent Serv 40.42% | Dept Admin 14.97% | Overhead 24.29% | СТО 0 <u>.</u> 00% | Flex Costs | Labor Expense Inc CAP Rate |
| Special Program Assistant II ¹ | 44,720.0 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 528,590.40 | \$ 50,374.67 | \$ 213,656.24 | \$ 79,129.98 | \$-\$ | - \$ | - | \$ 871,751.29 |

TOTAL LABOR COSTS:

\$ 1,169,402.38

| 1) Calculations of Part-Time Hours for SPA II | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| A) Average Number of Hours Between Sunrise and Sunset | А | 12.5 |
| B) Additional 1/2 Hour Before Sunrise | В | 0.5 |
| C) Additional 1/2 Hour After Sunrise | С | 0.5 |
| D) Average Golf Cart Barn Operation Hours Per Day | A+B+C≈D | 13.5 |
| E) One Employee For First 3.5 Hours of Golf Cart Barn Operation | E | 3.5 |
| F) Two Employees for Remaining Hours of Golf Cart Barn Operation | (D-E) x 2 = F | 20.0 |
| G) Average Golf Cart Barn Shift Hours Per Day | E+F=G | 23.5 |
| H) Number of Facilities | н | 5.0 |
| I) Average Daily Cart Barn Shift Hours for All Facilities | J | 117.5 |
| J) Number of Days | l x J = K | 365.0 |
| K) Total Number of SPA II Hours Per Year for All Facilities | 1 x J = K | 42,887.5 |
| L) Part-Time Employees to Operate Cart Barn | K / 1,000 hrs ² = L | 43.0 ³ |
| M) Part-Time Hours Per Year Per Employee | M | 1,040.0 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF SPA II HOURS PER YEAR FOR ALL FACILITIES | L×M | 44,720.0 |

2) Part-Time Employees are limited to 1040 hours per year. 1000 hours is used to account for vacation time and sick time.
3) Figure rounded up to account for a complete position (42,887.5/1000 = 42.9)

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GOLF DIVISION - SELF-OPERATION EXPENDITURE PLAN (LABOR) PROCUREMENT OF CARTS (GOLF DIVISION OPTION III)

| | | | | | COST ALLO | CATION PLAN RA | TE (CAP 30) | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Full-Time Classification | Annual Hours | Hourly Rate | Labor Expense | Fring Benefit 52.62% | Cent Serv 40.42% | Dept Admin 14.97% | Overhead 24.29% | CTO 26.74% | Flex Costs | Labor Expense Inc CAP Rate |
| Principal Park Services Attendant (1 Position) | | | \$ 62,848.80 | \$ 33,071.04 \$ | 25,403.48 | \$ 9,408.47 | \$ 15,265.97 \$ | 16,805.77 \$ | 8,252.00 | \$ 171,055.53 |
| Mechanical Helper (2.5 Positions) ¹ | | | \$ 114,213.60 | \$ 60,099.20 | 46,165.14 | \$ 17,097.78 | \$ 27,742.48 \$ | 30,540.72 \$ | 8,252.00 | \$ 304,110.91 |
| Part-Time Classification | Annuai Hours | Hourly Rate | Labor Expense | Fring Benefit 9.53% | Cent Serv 40.42% | Dept Admin 14.97% | Overhead 24.29% | CTO 0.00% | Flex Costs | Labor Expense Inc CAP Rate |
| Special Program Assistant II ² | 44,720.0 | \$ 11.82 | \$ 528,590.40 | \$ 50,374.67 \$ | 213,656.24 | \$ 79,129.98 | \$-\$ | - \$ | - | \$ 871,751.29 |

TOTAL LABOR COSTS:

\$ 1,346,917.73

1) Mechanical Helper: Each cart requires approximately 3 hours of maintenance 4 times per year. (3 hours of maintenance x 4 times per year x 490 carts = 5880 hours / 2088 per position = 2.81 positions)

| | Calculations o | <u>f Part-Time Hours (</u> | or SPA II |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|

| A) Average Number of Hours Between Sunrise and Sunset | Α | 12.5 |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|
| B) Additional 1/2 Hour Before Sunrise | В | 0.5 |
| C) Additional 1/2 Hour After Sunrise | С | 0.5 |
| D) Average Golf Cart Barn Operation Hours Per Day | A+B+C=D | 13.5 |
| E) One Employee For First 3.5 Hours of Golf Cart Barn Operation | E | 3.5 |
| F) Two Employees for Remaining Hours of Golf Cart Barn Operation | (D-E) x 2 = F | 20.0 |
| G) Average Golf Cart Barn Shift Hours Per Day | E + F = G | 23.5 |
| H) Number of Facilities | н | 5.0 |
| Average Daily Cart Barn Shift Hours for All Facilities | J | 117.5 |
| J) Number of Days | I x J = K | 365.0 |
| K) Total Number of SPA II Hours Per Year for All Facilities | I x J = K | 42,887.5 |
| L) Part-Time Employees to Operate Cart Barn | K / 1,000 hrs ³ = L | 43.0 4 |
| M) Part-Time Hours Per Year Per Employee | M | 1,040.0 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF SPA II HOURS PER YEAR FOR ALL FACILITIES | LxM | 44,720.0 |

3) Part-Time Employees are limited to 1040 hours per year. 1000 hours is used to account for vacation time and sick time.
4) Figure rounded up to account for a complete position (42,887.5/1000 = 42.9)

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GOLF DIVISION - SELF-OPERATION EXPENDITURE PLAN GOLF CARTS

Golf Cart Lease Expense 3 Year Lease

| Monthly Lease Rate Per Cart | \$ 77.13 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Sales Tax (8.25%) | \$ 6.36 |
| Total Cost Per Cart | \$ 83.49 |
| Number of Golf Carts | 490 |
| Cost Per Month | \$ 40,911.68 |
| Cost Per Year | \$ 490,940.16 |

Golf Cart Lease Expense 4 Year Lease

| Monthly Lease Rate Per Cart | \$ 64.44 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Sales Tax (8.25%) | \$ 5.32 |
| Total Cost Per Cart | \$ 69.76 |
| Number of Golf Carts | 490 |
| Cost Per Month | \$ 34,180.59 |
| Cost Per Year | \$ 410,167.04 |

Golf Cart Lease Expense Purchase of Carts

| Purchase Cost Per Cart | \$ 3,425.00 |
|--|--------------------|
| Sales Tax (8.25%) | \$ 282.56 |
| Total Cost Per Cart | \$ 3,707.56 |
| Number of Golf Carts | 490 |
| Total Cost for Purchase of Carts (First Year) | \$ 1,816,705.63 |
| Total Cost for Purchase of Carts (Sixth Year / 2.5% annual increase) | \$ 2,055,435.66 |
| Cost Per Year | \$ 3,872,141.29 |

DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS ELECTRIC GOLF CARTS RENTAL CHARTER 1022 COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION COSTS

| | Annual | No. of | _ | Labor | Fring I | Fring Benefit | COST AL Cent Serv | T ALLOCAT | COST ALLOCATION PLAN RATE (CAP 30) cent Serv Dept Admin Overhear | E (CAP 30) Overhead | | CTO | | Flex | Lab | Labor Expense | e |
|--|--------------|-----------|----|----------|---------|---------------|----------------------|-------------|---|------------------------|----------------|----------|----|--------|-----|---------------|----|
| Classification | Salary | Employees | ۵ | Expense | ŝ | 52.62% | 40.42% | 2% | 14.97% | 24.29% | | 26.74% | | Costs | 드 | Inc CAP Rate | |
| Senior Management Analyst I (1 Position / 52 Contracts Assigned) | \$ 93,229.20 | 0.0192 | \$ | 1,790.00 | \$ | 941.90 | \$ | 723.52 \$ | 267.96 | \$ 434.79 | \$ 6 | 478.65 | Ś | 158.44 | Ś | 4,795.26 | 26 |
| Management Analyst II (1 Position / 13 Contracts Assigned) | \$ 78,947.28 | 0.0769 | Ф | 6,071.05 | \$ | 3,194.58 | \$ | 2,453.92 \$ | 908.84 | \$ 1,474.66 | \$ 9 | 1,623.40 | \$ | 634.58 | ŝ | 16,361.02 | 02 |
| Accounting Clerk I (1 Position / 52 Contracts Assigned) | \$ 52,847.00 | 0.0192 | \$ | 1,014.66 | \$ | 533.92 | ↔ | 410.13 \$ | 151.89 | \$ 246.46 | \$ 9 | 271.32 | \$ | 158.44 | \$ | 2,786.82 | 82 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION COSTS: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ∽ | 23,943.09 | 60 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Projected Department Administration Cost for 10-Year Term of Agreement | of Agreement | | | | | | | | | | Year 1 | - | | | ¢ | 23,943.09 | 60 |
| Based on first-year cost and 5% annual growth. | | | | | | | | | | | Year 2 | 12 | | | ¢ | 25,140.25 | 25 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 3 | 3 | | | ÷ | 26,397.26 | 26 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 4 | 4 | | | €9 | 27,717.12 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 5 | 5 | | | ¢ | 29,102.98 | 98 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 6 | 9 | | | ¢ | 30,558.13 | 13 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 7 | - 7 | | | ¢ | 32,086.03 | 03 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 8 | 8 | | | ¢ | 33,690.33 | 33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 9 | r 9 | | | ¢ | 35,374.85 | 85 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Year 10 | r 10 | | | Ś | 37,143.59 | 59 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Total: | | | | ¢ | 301,153.64 | 2 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |