AP	P	30)VE 20 07	
\sqrt{J}	APR	0 5	20 07	

NO. 07-86

DATE April 5, 2007

AND OF RECTEATION and RAPIK CONTRACTOR

C.D. <u>6</u>

BOARD OF RECREATION AND PARK COMMISSIONERS

SUBJECT: SEPULVEDA GOLF COMPLEX PROFESSIONAL CONCESSION – REJECTION OF ALL PROPOSALS RECEIVED AND DIRECTION TO PREPARE A NEW REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND RETURN PROPOSAL DEPOSITS

R. Adams J. Combs F. Mok H. Fujita S. Huntley J. Kolb F. Mok K. Regan M. Shull	Pres Stanson
Approved	General Manager Disapproved Withdrawn

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Board:

- 1. Reject all three proposals received on November 14, 2006, for the operation of the Sepulveda Golf Complex Professional Concession on the basis they are non-responsive to the Level I Request for Proposal (RFP) requirements and direct staff to return all proposal deposits to each proposer; and,
- 2. Direct staff to develop and release a new RFP for this concession.

SUMMARY:

The Sepulveda Golf Complex Professional Concession operates and maintains the driving range, provides lessons to the public, and operates the golf proshop. Gross revenues during the past five calendar years averaged \$1,200,000 each year.

The current concessionaire has been operating on a month-to-month basis since February 2001, after the previous concessionaire filed for bankruptcy.

The Board approved the release of a RFP on August 9, 2006, (Board Report 06-217) and the RFP was released on August 21, 2006. The proposer's conference was held on September 7, 2006. Proposals were received on November 14, 2006, from GolfLinks Ventures, Inc.; Pacific Highland, LP; and Ready Golf Centers. Each of the three proposals also contained a \$10,000 deposit which is refundable upon execution of a new agreement or rejection of all proposals.

PG. 2

NO. 07-86

As stipulated in the RFP, evaluation of the bid proposals would occur in three levels. Level I checked and reviewed for required compliance and submittal documents; Level II would be a preliminary review by Department staff of documents related to the proposers' ability to finance the operation as proposed to determine whether they meet the basic RFP requirement; and, Level III would be a comprehensive evaluation of the proposals by a panel. Proposers must successfully pass Level I to proceed to the other levels.

Level I review consists of:

1. <u>Compliance Documents</u>:

- a) Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit
- b) Disposition of Proposals
- c) Affirmative Action Plan
- d) Good Faith Effort Outreach
- e) Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention Exemption Form (only if applying for an exemption)
- f) Contractor Responsibility Ordinance Questionnaire
- g) Equal Benefits Ordinance Statement

2. Submittal Documents:

- a) Cover Letter
- b) Proposal Deposit
- c) Ability to Finance
- d) Background and Experience
- e) Business Plan
- f) Items Specific to the Concession (for the Sepulveda Golf Pro RFP, this included ongoing refurbishment, improvement, and maintenance)
- g) Capital Improvements

During staff review of Level I the Good Faith Effort (GFE) Outreach of each proposal was reviewed. The GFE Outreach is a City requirement (Mayor Executive Directive No. 2001-26), that the proposers make a good faith effort to provide a portion of the concession's operation to Minority Business Enterprises / Women Business Enterprises / Other Business Enterprises (MBE/WBE/OBE) subcontractors. Pursuant to the program, proposers are to determine what portions of their concession operation may be subcontracted and then obtain offers from interested subcontractors to participate in concession operations. Proposers are required to score 75 points out of 100 in this subcontractor outreach effort as described in the RFP. This City requirement has also been adopted by the Board as the Board's policy (Board Report No. 177-89) and is consistent with Citywide practice. If the proposer achieves a score of 75 or more points, the proposer has made a good faith

PG. 3

NO. <u>07-86</u>

effort and is responsive to the RFP requirement. A score of less than 75 points indicates failure to comply and non-responsiveness to the RFP requirement.

The following are the GFE scores for each proposal (Attachment 1):

Proposer	<u>Score</u>	Pass/Fail
GolfLinks Ventures, Inc.	10	Fail
Pacific Highland, LP	0	Fail
Ready Golf Centers	10	Fail

Pacific Highland, LP and Ready Golf Centers attempted to fulfill the GFE by stating that they would subcontract for capital improvements as required by the RFP. However, no plans and specifications for proposed improvements were supplied to potential bidders and all advertisements stated that bidders would be contacted later if a contract was awarded to either Pacific Highland, LP or Ready Golf Centers. As a result, no bids were received from potential subcontractors. Under the criteria listed in the 10 GFE sub-areas, such actions do not constitute sufficient outreach. However, even if considered as an appropriate attempt to make outreach, the proposals still failed. The following are the scores with the most favorable treatment given to Pacific Highland, LP and Ready Golf Centers' efforts at outreach based on the opportunity to bid on future capital improvements (Attachment 2):

Proposer	Score	Pass/Fail
GolfLinks Ventures, Inc.	10	Fail
Pacific Highland, LP	40	Fail
Ready Golf Centers	69	Fail

Although none of the bid proposals passed the GFE portion of the RFP, staff continued the Level I review. Ready Golf Centers passed the other Level I areas, but GolfLinks Ventures, Inc., and Pacific Highland, LP failed.

The following are the complete Level I findings for each proposer:

- GolfLinks Ventures, Inc., was found Non-Responsive in five compliance documents and four submittal documents (Attachment 3A).
- Pacific Highland LP was found Non-Responsive in five compliance documents and two submittal document (Attachment 3B).
- Ready Golf Centers was found Non-Responsive in one compliance document (Attachment 3C).

PG. 4

NO. <u>07</u>-86

Based on the above, there are no responsive proposers to the RFP. Therefore staff recommends that all three bid proposals be rejected and proposal deposits be returned.

At the direction of the Board, staff will develop and release a new RFP for the Sepulveda Golf Complex Professional Concession.

IMPACT STATEMENT:

Failure to award a contract for the Sepulveda Golf Complex Professional Concession has no immediate impact on the Department's General Fund. Future impact factors include additional revenues that would be received with an updated and improved driving range and a new long-term agreement stipulating favorable terms to the City.

Report prepared by Robert N. Morales, Finance Division

GOOD FAITH EFFORT OUTREACH SCORING

GolfLink Ventures, Inc.

Indicator	Possible Points	Awarded Points	Comment
1. Level of Anticipated	0	0	=· .
MBE/WBE/OBE			
Participation	-	_	
2. Attended Pre-Bid	10	10	
Meeting			
3. Sufficient Work	10	0	No documentation provided in the bid proposal.
Identified for		1	
Subconsultant		· . <u></u>	
4. Advertisement	9	0	
5. Written Notices to	15	0	
Subconsultant			
6. Follow-up on Initial	10	0	
Solicitation			
7. Plans, Specifications	5	0	
and requirements.			
8. Contacted Recruitment	10	0	
/ Placement Organization		1	
9. Negotiated in Good	26	0	
Faith			
10. Bond, Lines of	- 5	0	
Credit, and Insurance			
TOTAL SCORE	100	10	Less than 75 points is failing.

Pacific Highland, LP

Pacific Highland, LP			
Indicator	Possible	Awarded	Comment
	Points	Points_	
1. Level of Anticipated	0	0	
MBE/WBE/OBE			٠
Participation			
2. Attended Pre-Bid	10	0	Did not attend pre-bid meeting.
Meeting		_	
3. Sufficient Work	10	0	Insufficient documentation. Opportunity in the
Identified for		Ì	outreach did not include the entire concession
Subconsultant			operation.
4. Advertisement	9	0	
5. Written Notices to	15	0	Outreach for capital improvement opportunity
Subconsultant			provided in general terms. Specifics or details on
6. Follow-up on Initial	10	0	scope of work not provided in advertisement. The
Solicitation			availability of bid documents lists a RAP
7. Plans, Specifications	5	. 0	Department Analyst (Anthony Sanchez) as the
and requirements.			contact for bid documents.
8. Contacted Recruitment	10	0	
/ Placement Organization			The scope of work and lack of availability of plans
9. Negotiated in Good	26	0	and specifications limited the opportunity to receive
Faith		- ,	meaningful proposals from subcontractors. Staff
10. Bond, Lines of	5	0	determined that potential subcontractors were not
Credit, and Insurance			provided an opportunity prior to the proposal
order, min months			deadline to submit bids or proposals for any work to
			be awarded.
TOTAL SCORE	100	0	Less than 75 points is failing.

GOOD FAITH EFFORT OUTREACH SCORING

Ready Golf Centers

Indicator	Possible Points	Awarded Points	Comment
1. Level of Anticipated MBE/WBE/OBE Participation	0	0	
2. Attended Pre-Bid Meeting	10	10	
3. Sufficient Work Identified for Subconsultant	10	0	Insufficient documentation. Opportunity in the outreach did not include the entire concession operation.
4. Advertisement	9	0	
5. Written Notices to Subconsultant	15	0	Outreach for capital improvement opportunity provided in general terms. Specifics or details on
6. Follow-up on Initial Solicitation	10	0	scope of work not provided in advertisement. The availability of bid documents is stated as "not
7. Plans, Specifications and requirements.	5	0	applicable at this time".
8. Contacted Recruitment / Placement Organization	10	0	The scope of work and lack of availability of plans and specifications limited the opportunity to receive
9. Negotiated in Good Faith	26	0	meaningful proposals from subcontractors. Staff determined that potential subcontractors were not
10. Bond, Lines of Credit, and Insurance	5	0	provided an opportunity prior to the proposal deadline to submit bids or proposals for any work to be awarded.
TOTAL SCORE	100	10	Less than 75 points is failing.

GOOD FAITH EFFORT OUTREACH SCORING (Capital Improvement Portion)

GolfLink Ventures, Inc.

GUILLIER VEHILLICS, III	Goldink ventures, inc.					
Indicator	Possible Points	Awarded Points	Comment			
1. Level of Anticipated MBE/WBE/OBE Participation	0	0				
2. Attended Pre-Bid Meeting	10	10				
3. Sufficient Work Identified for Subconsultant	10	0	No documentation provided in the bid proposal.			
4. Advertisement	9	0				
5. Written Notices to Subconsultant	15	0				
6. Follow-up on Initial Solicitation	10	0				
7. Plans, Specifications and requirements.	5	0				
8. Contacted Recruitment / Placement Organization	10	0				
9. Negotiated in Good Faith	26	0				
10. Bond, Lines of Credit, and Insurance	5	0				
TOTAL SCORE	100	10	Less than 75 points is failing.			

Pacific Highland, LP

Indicator	Possible Points	Awarded Points	Comment
1. Level of Anticipated MBE/WBE/OBE Participation	0	0	
2. Attended Pre-Bid Meeting	10	0	Did not attend Pre-Bid meeting.
3. Sufficient Work Identified for Subconsultant	10	0	The proposer did not identify specific items of the project to be performed in order to provide an opportunity for subcontracting opportunities.
4. Advertisement	9	0	The advertisement was not specific and information on the availability of plans and specifications was not provided: Concession Analyst listed as contact.
5. Written Notices to Subconsultant	15	15	Possible points. Notice was sent as general overall construction in various categories – no specifics for items of work to be performed.
6. Follow-up on Initial Solicitation	10	10	Possible points. Proposer did send "reminder" faxes to subconsultants.
7. Plans, Specifications and requirements.	5	0	No scope of work, specifications, or plans provided.
8. Contacted Recruitment / Placement Organization	10	10	
9. Negotiated in Good Faith_	26	0	There was a lack of project scope sufficient to elicit responses in order to negotiate.
10. Bond, Lines of Credit, and Insurance	5	5	Possible points awarded. Advertisement states that assistance would be provided.
TOTAL SCORE	100	40	Less than 75 points is failing.

GOOD FAITH EFFORT OUTREACH SCORING (Capital Improvement Portion)

Ready Golf Centers

Indicator	Possible Points	Awarded Points	Comment
1. Level of Anticipated MBE/WBE/OBE Participation	0	0	
2. Attended Pre-Bid Meeting	10	10	
3. Sufficient Work Identified for Subconsultant	10	10	Possible Points: The Proposer selected areas in general terms but was not specific in scope of work.
4. Advertisement	9	9	Possible Points: The advertisement contained selected areas in general terms but was not specific in scope of work.
5. Written Notices to Subconsultant	15	15	Possible Points. Notice was sent contained selected areas of work in general terms but was not specific in scope of work.
6. Follow-up on Initial Solicitation	10	10	Possible points. Proposer did send "reminder" faxes to subconsultants.
7. Plans, Specifications and requirements.	5	0	No scope of work, specifications, or plans provided. The availability of bid documents is stated on the advertisement as "not available at this time".
8. Contacted Recruitment / Placement Organization	10	10	
9. Negotiated in Good Faith	26	0	There was a lack of project scope sufficient to elicit responses in order to negotiate.
10. Bond, Lines of Credit, and Insurance	5	5	Possible Points: Advertisement states that assistance would be provided.
TOTAL SCORE	100	69	Less than 75 points is failing.

GOLFLINKS VENTURES, INCORPORATED SEPULVEDA GOLF COMPLEX PROFESSIONAL - RFP LEVEL I EVALUATION

		Submitted	Comply	Comments	
I. Co	ompliance Documents	No	No	Non-Responsive	
a	Proposer's Signature Declaration and Affidavit	Yes	No	The bid proposal is submitted by a Corporation - the document is missing a second signature. Item may be waivable by the Board as an informality.	
b	Disposition of Proposals	Yes	Yes		
С	Affirmative Action Plan (Non-Discrimination / Equal Employment / Affirmative Action)	Yes	No	The Total Composition of Workforce form was not submitted. Pages A2 - A6 are missing from the bid proposal.	
d	Good Faith Effort Outreach (GFE)	No	No	See Attachment 1 for details.	
e	Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention Exemption Form	No	Yes_	Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements.	
f	Contractor Responsibility Ordinance Questionaire	Yes	No	The bid proposal is missing page 1 of the document.	
g	Equal Benefits Ordinance Statement	Yes	No	The bid proposal is missing page 2 of the document.	

	-	Submitted	Comply	Comments
II. Sı	ubmittal Documents	No	No	Non-Responsive
	÷			
а	Cover Letter	Yes	Yes	
b	Proposal Deposit	Yes	No	Company check submitted/made out to Parking Recreation.
С	Ability to Finance	No	No	No documents submitted.
	1.2			
d	Background and Experience	Yes	Yes	
е	Business Plan	No	No	No documents submitted.
f	On-going refurbishment, improvement, and maintenance	No	No	No documents submitted.
g	Capital Improvements	Yes	Yes	

PACIFIC HIGHLAND, Limited Partnership (LP) SEPULVEDA GOLF COMPLEX PROFESSIONAL - RFP LEVEL I EVALUATION

-		Submitted	Comply	Comments
I. Compliance Documents		Yes	No	Non-Responsive
	Proposer's Signature Declaration and	2 to 1 A		There is no listing of a Pacific Highland, LP with the State of California. Item may be waivable by the Board if the proposer
a	Affidavit	Yes	No	can provide clarification.
b	Disposition of Proposals	Yes	Yes	
 c	Affirmative Action Plan (Non-Discrimination / Equal Employment / Affirmative Action)	Yes	No	The form requests information on "officials" which was not provided in the bid proposal. Item may be waivable by the Board if the proposer provides additional information requested. The document as submitted provides substantial compliance.
d	Good Faith Effort Outreach (GFE)	Yes	No	See Attachment 1 for details.
е	Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention Exemption Form	No	Yes	Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements.
f	Contractor Responsibility Ordinance Questionaire	Yes	No	One response states the entity has been in business for one-year but another response states that the partnership was created on 10/24/06. Item may be waivable by the Board if the proposer can provide clarification.
g	Equal Benefits Ordinance Statement	Yes	No	The bid proposal claims "One Person Contractor" but the bid proposal is as a two person partnership. Item may be waivable by the Board if the proposer can provide clanfication.

	· -	Submitted	Comply	Comments
II. Submittal Documents		No	No	Non-Responsive
		*		
а	Cover Letter	Yes	Yes	
				·
				·
b	Proposal Deposit	Yes	Yes	<u> </u>
С	Ability to Finance	Yes	Yes	· .
d	Background and Experience	Yes	Yes	
е	Business Plan	No	No	No documents submitted.
	On-going refurbishment, improvement, and	-		
f	maintenance	No	No	No documents submitted.
g	Capital Improvements	Yes	Yes	

READY GOLF CENTERS SEPULVEDA GOLF COMPLEX PROFESSIONAL - RFP LEVEL I EVALUATION

		Submitted	Comply	Comments
I. Compliance Documents		Yes	No	Non-Responsive
	Proposer's Signature Declaration and			· —
а	Affidavit	Yes	Yes	
b	Disposition of Proposals	Yes	Yes	
С	Affirmative Action Plan (Non-Discrimination / Equal Employment / Affirmative Action)	Yes	Yes	
d	Good Faith Effort Outreach (GFE)	Yes	No	See Attachment 1 for details.
е	Living Wage / Service Contractor Worker Retention Exemption Form	No	Yes	Submittal of documents is only required if an exemption is requested. Non-submittal indicates acceptance of requirements.
f	Contractor Responsibility Ordinance Questionaire	Yes	Yes	·
g	Equal Benefits Ordinance Statement	Yes	Yes	

		Submitted	Comply	Comments
II. Submittal Documents		Yes	Yes	Responsive
а	Cover Letter	Yes	Yes	
b	Proposal Deposit	Yes	Yes	
С	Ability to Finance	Yes	Yes	
d	Background and Experience	Yes	Yes	
				·
е	Business Plan	Yes	Yes	
	On-going refurbishment, improvement, and			
f	maintenance	Yes	Yes	
g	Capital Improvements	Yes	Yes	